

US009130402B2

# (12) United States Patent

Forbes, Jr.

(10) Patent No.:

US 9,130,402 B2

(45) **Date of Patent:** 

Sep. 8, 2015

# (54) SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR GENERATING AND PROVIDING DISPATCHABLE OPERATING RESERVE ENERGY CAPACITY THROUGH USE OF ACTIVE LOAD MANAGEMENT

(75) Inventor: **Joseph W. Forbes, Jr.**, Raleigh, NC

(US)

(73) Assignee: Causam Energy, Inc., Raleigh, NC (US)

(\*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35

U.S.C. 154(b) by 739 days.

(21) Appl. No.: 13/471,589

(22) Filed: May 15, 2012

(65) **Prior Publication Data** 

US 2012/0226384 A1 Sep. 6, 2012

# Related U.S. Application Data

- (63) Continuation-in-part of application No. 13/019,867, filed on Feb. 2, 2011, now Pat. No. 8,996,183, and a continuation-in-part of application No. 12/775,979, filed on May 7, 2010, now Pat. No. 8,396,606.
- (60) Provisional application No. 61/215,725, filed on May 8, 2009.
- (51) **Int. Cl. H02J 3/14** (2006.01) **G06Q 10/00** (2012.01) **H02J 3/00** (2006.01)
- (52) U.S. Cl.

CPC . **H02J** 3/14 (2013.01); **G06Q** 10/00 (2013.01); H02J 2003/003 (2013.01); Y02B 70/3225 (2013.01); Y04S 10/54 (2013.01); Y04S 20/222 (2013.01)

## (58) Field of Classification Search

# (56) References Cited

#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,906,242 A 4,023,043 A 9/1975 Stevenson 5/1977 Stevenson (Continued)

#### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP 1729223 A 12/2006 JP 2000078748 A 3/2000 (Continued)

#### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Amendment and Response dated Oct. 15, 2009, U.S. Appl. No. 11/895,909 (14 pages).


(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Mohammad Ali Assistant Examiner — Nathan L Laughlin (74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm — Triangle Patents, PLLC

#### (57) ABSTRACT

A utility employs a method for generating available operating reserve. Electric power consumption by at least one device serviced by the utility is determined during at least one period of time to produce power consumption data, stored in a repository. A determination is made that a control event is to occur during which power is to be reduced to one or more devices. Prior to the control event and under an assumption that it is not to occur, power consumption behavior expected of the device(s) is generated for a time period during which the control event is expected to occur based on the stored power consumption data. Additionally, prior to the control event, projected energy savings resulting from the control event, and associated with a power supply value (PSV) are determined based on the devices' power consumption behavior. An amount of available operating reserve is determined based on the projected energy savings.

#### 14 Claims, 12 Drawing Sheets



# US 9,130,402 B2

Page 2

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS 7,20,844 B2 4-2007 Furior et al. 4,89,075 A 1/1986 Bonnagel 7,23,843 B2 6-2007 Bulbring et al. 4,89,075 A 1/1986 Bonnagel 7,23,843 B2 6-2007 Bulbring et al. 4,819,180 A 1/1989 Beltman et al. 7,26,073 B2 9,2007 Willer 4,819,180 A 1/1989 Beltman et al. 7,26,1973 B2 9,2007 Willer 4,819,180 A 1/1989 Beltman et al. 7,26,1973 B2 9,2007 Willer 1,2007 Bonnagel 7,23,845 B2 6-2007 Bulbring et al. 7,26,1973 B2 9,2007 Willer 1,2007 Bonnagel 7,23,1846 B1 12,2007 Chea 1,2007 Bonnagel 7,23,1846 B1 12,2007 Bonnagel 7,23,1846 B1 12,2007 Chea 1,2007 Bonnagel 7,23,1846 B1 12,2007 Chea 1,2007 Bonnagel 7,23,1846 B1 12,2007 Bonnagel 7,24,257 B2 12,2007	(56)	Rei	feren	ces Cited	7,200,134 7,206,670			Proctor, Jr. et al.
1.200.059		U.S. PAT	ENT	DOCUMENTS				
439190,059 A 1/1988 Gindalli et al. 4301930 A 4/1989 Pirtly et al. 4301229 A 4/1989 Pirtly et al. 4301229 A 1/1989 Pirtly et al. 5375,07 A 1/1989 Pirtly et al. 5385,101 A 2/1998 Dinkins 5385,101 A 2/1995 Dinkins 5385,101 A 2/1995 Dinkins 5385,101 A 2/1995 Dinkins 7,305,328 B 2/12007 Chen 5388,101 A 2/1995 Dinkins 7,305,328 B 2/12007 Chen 5388,101 A 2/1995 Dinkins 7,305,328 B 3,2008 Cregg et al. 538,101 A 2/1996 Hurtig 7,345,341 B 3,2008 S 3,000 Cregg et al. 539,349 A 1/1996 Hurtig 7,345,341 B 3,2008 Cregg et al. 539,349 A 1/1997 Dinkins 7,307,307 B 2,7008 Cregg et al. 539,349 A 1/1997 Dinkins 7,307,307 B 2,7008 Cregg et al. 539,349 A 1/1997 Dinkins 7,307,340 B 2,7008 Cregg et al. 540,153 A 6/1997 Hildsbrand et al. 7,405,640 B 2,7008 Cregg et al. 540,4173 A 7/1997 Filliason et al. 540,4173 A 7/1997 Filliason et al. 540,401,407 A 7/1999 Filliason et al. 540,401,407 A 7/1997 Filliason et al. 540,401,407 A 7/1999 Filliason et al. 540,		0.0.1111	LIVI	DOCOMENTO	7,209,840	B2	4/2007	Petite et al.
4.819.180 A 41988 Helman et al. 4.819.280 A 41988 Helman et al. 4.819.280 A 41988 High printy et al. 5.273.507 A 81993 Chasek 7.283.871 82 11207 Petric 5.283.671 Petric 5.283								
Association   Company								
5.237.507 A 81993 Chasek 7,295.128 B2 11,2007 Petite 5.361.952 A 117994 Licklet al. 7,305.228 B2 12,2007 O'Donnell 5.361.954 A 17996 Emission 7,313.465 B1 12,2007 O'Donnell 5.461.504 A 17996 Emission 7,313.465 B1 12,2007 O'Donnell 5.540.030 A 17996 Emission 7,313.465 B1 12,2007 O'Donnell 5.540.030 A 17996 Emission 7,313.466 B1 12,2007 O'Donnell 5.540.030 A 17996 Emission 7,313.466 B1 12,2007 Petite et al. 5.540.030 A 17996 Emission 7,313.466 B1 12,2007 Petite et al. 5.540.153 A 17997 Ellisson et al. 5.540.153 A 61997 Flidebrand et al. 5.540.153 A 61997 Emission et al. 5.540.153 A 7,4997 Ellisson et al. 6.745.254 B 2,4907 Ellisson et al. 6.745.256 B 1,4901 Ellisson et al. 6.745.257 B 2,4907 Ellisson et al. 6								
5.348,104 A 11999 Dinkins 7313-486 B 122007 O'Donnell S.388,103 A 21995 Dinkins 7313-486 B 122007 O'Donnell S.388,134 A 21995 Dinkins 7313-486 B 122007 O'Donnell S.388,134 A 11995 Dinkins 7334-598 B 2 32008 Crege et al. 42008 Habib et al. 5570.002 A 11999 Dinkins 7334-598 B 2 32008 Petite et al. 5570.002 A 11999 Dinkins 7304-507 B 2 72008 Habib et al. 5570.002 A 11999 Dinkins 7,307.007 B 2 72008 Andron et al. 5570.002 A 11999 Dinkins 7,307.007 B 2 72008 Andron et al. 5570.002 A 11999 Dinkins 7,307.007 B 2 72008 Andron et al. 5570.002 A 11997 Dinkins 7,307.007 B 2 72008 Andron et al. 5570.002 A 11997 Dinkins 7,307.007 B 2 72008 Andron et al. 5570.002 A 11997 Dinkins 7,307.007 B 2 72008 Andron et al. 5570.002 A 11997 Dinkins 7,307.007 B 2 72008 Andron et al. 5570.002 A 11997 Dinkins 7,307.007 B 2 72008 Andron et al. 5570.002 A 11997 Dinkins 10,307.002 Dinkin								
Salt   Safe   A   11996								
5.592,339 A 31996   Iarrig 7,345,998 B2 3/2008   Cregg et al.   5.540,103 A 81996   Brown, Jr. et al.   7,346,616 B2 3/2008   Petite of al.   5.570,002 A 101996   Dinkins 7,365,616 B2 3/2008   Petite of al.   5.592,401 A 11997   Dinkins 7,365,616 B2 12008   Petite of al.   5.640,153 A 61997   Hildebrand et al.   7,405,367 B2 7,2008   Andren et al.   5.640,173 A 71997   Elisson et al.   7,440,871 B2 10/2008   Petite of al.   5.640,173 A 71997   Elisson et al.   7,440,871 B2 10/2008   Meconellet al.   5.761,206 A 11997   Albert et al.   7,440,871 B2 10/2008   Meconellet al.   5.761,206 A 12908   Kikins et al.   7,450,861 B2 12/2009   Petite of al.   5.761,206 A 12908   Kikins et al.   7,450,861 B2 12/2008   Meconellet al.   6.018,500 A 12000   Suith 7,468,661 B2 12/2008   Petite et al.   6.018,407 A 6 22009   Suith 7,468,661 B2 12/2008   Weber of al.   6.1018,407 A 12000   Suith 7,468,661 B2 12/2009   Petite of al.   6.1018,407 A 12000   Suith 7,468,661 B2 12/2009   Weber of al.   6.1018,407 A 12000   Suith 7,468,661 B2 12/2009   Weber of al.   6.1018,407 A 12000   Suith 7,468,661 B2 12/2009   Weber of al.   6.1018,407 A 12000   Suith 7,468,661 B2 12/2009   Weber of al.   6.1018,407 A 12000   Suith 7,468,661 B2 12/2009   Weber of al.   6.1018,407 A 12000   Suith 7,468,661 B2 12/2009   Weber of al.   6.1018,407 A 12000   Suith 7,468,661 B2 12/2009   Weber of al.   6.1018,407 A 12000   Suith 7,468,661 B2 12/2009   Weber of al.   6.1018,407 A 12000   Suith 7,468,661 B2 12/2009   Weber of al.   6.1018,407 A 12000   Suith 7,468,661 B2 12/2009   Weber of al.   6.1018,407 A 12000   Suith 7,468,661 B2 12/2009   Weber of al.   6.1018,407 A 12000   Suith 7,468,661 B2 12/2009   Weber of al.   6.1018,407 A 12000   Suith 7,468,661 B2 12/2009   Weber of al.   6.1018,407 A 12000   Suith 7,468,661 B2 12/2009   Weber of al.   6.1018,407 A 12000   Suith 7,468,661 B2 12/2009   Weber of al.   6.1018,407 A 12000   Suith 7,468,661 B2 12/2009   Weber of al.   6.1018,407 A 12000   Suith 7,468,661 B2 12/2009   Weber of al.   6.1018,407 A								
5,579,092								
5,644,173   A   7,1997   Hildebrand et al.   7,406,364   B2   7,2068   Andren et al.   5,644,173   A   7,1997   Moe et al.   7,412,307   B2   9,2008   Venou   February   Febr								
Spick4   775   A 7   7   7   7   7   7   7   7   7								
September   Sept								
5,066,695 A   12/1997   Ehlers et al.   7,440,871 B2   10/2008   Rodgers							9/2008	Petite
S.936,776   A   7,1999   Gloricos et al.   7,468,661   B2   12,2009   Petite et al.								
5.973.481 A 10/1999   Thompson et al.   7,480,501 B2   12009   Petite					7,451,019	B2		
Col								
6.078,785 A 6.2000 Bush 7,528,503 B2 57009 Mognli et al. 6.102,674 A 82000 Oevreboe 7,356,240 B2 57009 Mognli et al. 6.115,676 A 9,2000 Rector et al. 7,541,941 B2 67009 Bogolac et al. 6.154,889 A 11,2000 Norizuki et al. 7,565,227 B2 7,2009 Richard et al. 7,261,956 B1 4/2001 Ehlers et al. 7,650,425 B2 17,010 Davis et al. 6.233,37 B1 5/2001 Petite 7,667,425 B2 17,010 Davis et al. 7,711,756 B2 5,2010 Gut et al. 8,034,523 B1 10,2001 Chapman et al. 7,713,591 B2 5,2010 Forbes, Jr. et al. 6,364,552 B1 10,2001 Chapman et al. 7,713,591 B2 5,2010 Forbes, Jr. et al. 6,374,101 B1 4,2002 Cultinigham et al. 7,735,999 B2 6,2010 Petite 6,374,101 B1 4,2002 Cellbien 7,001 B1 4,001 Cellbien 8,001 B1 6,001 B1 6,0					7,486,681	B2	2/2009	Weber
6.115.676 A 9.2000 Rector et al. 6.154.889 A 11.2000 Norizutie et al. 6.216.956 BI 42.001 Eblers et al. 6.233.378 II 5.2001 Portite 7.659.478 B2 12.010 Davis et al. 6.233.378 II 5.2001 Portite 7.669.428 B2 12.010 Davis et al. 6.233.378 II 5.2001 Portite 7.769.492 B2 5.2010 Gutt et al. 6.304.552 BI 10.2001 Chapman et al. 7.711.796 B2 5.2010 Gutt et al. 6.304.552 BI 10.2001 Chapman et al. 7.738.999 B2 6.2010 Portite Portes, Ir. et al. 6.374.101 BI 4.2002 Gelbien 7.739.378 B2 8.2011 Forbes, Ir. et al. 6.374.760 B2 12.003 Nierlich et al. 6.512.966 B2 12.003 Nierlich et al. 6.519.509 B1 8.2002 Portite et al. 6.519.509 B1 8.2003 Sowinski 8.315.717 B2 11/2012 Forbes, Ir. et al. 6.577.962 BI 6.2003 Afshari 8.307.252 B2 11/2012 Forbes, Ir. et al. 6.561.179 BI 9.2003 Sowinski 8.315.717 B2 11/2012 Forbes, Ir. et al. 6.621.179 BI 9.2003 Hunter 8.3646.09 B2 12/2013 Zhou et al. 6.622.925 B2 9.2003 Carner et al. 6.622.925 B2 9.2003 Bardone et al. 6.633.83 B2 10.2003 Davis et al. 6.631.83 B2 10.2003 Davis et al. 6.651.555.79 B2 9.2003 Bardone et al. 6.651.555.79 B2 9.2003 Davis et al. 6.671.586 B2 12.2003 Davis et al. 6.671.586 B2 12.2004 Nierlich et al. 6.671.586 B2 12.2004 Porticovicz et al. 6.673.585 B2 5.2004 Porticovicz et al. 6.673.688.18 B2 12.2004 Ving 2002.0019758 A1 12.2013 Forbes, Ir. 6.774.882 B2 8.2004 Porticovicz et al. 6.834.81 B1 12.2004 Ving 2002.0019758 A1 12.2002 Scappelli Cappelli Et al. 6.680.154 B2 12.2004 Ving 2002.0019758 A1 12.2003 Score et al. 6.680.680.188 B1 5.2005 Portic et al. 6.806.480 B2 3.2005 Sowins et al. 6.904.633 B2 3.2005 Sowins et al. 6.904.633 B2 3.2005 Masticola et al. 6.904.633 B2 3.2006 May oct al. 6.904.633 B2 3.2006 May oct al. 6.904.633 B2 3.2006 Ma								
6.154,859   A   11/200   Norizulei et al.   7.565,277   B2   72009   Richard et al.   6.216,956   B1   4/2001   Ehlers et al.   7.659,475   B2   1/2010   Portifit et al.   7.769,4729   B2   4/2010   Perite   7.669,475   B2   4/2010   Perite   7.669,475   B2   4/2010   Perite   7.669,475   B2   5/2010   Gutt et al.   7.711,756   B2   5/2010   Forthes, Ir. et al.   6.366,271   B1   4/2002   Cunningham et al.   7.718,959   B2   5/2010   Forthes, Ir. et al.   6.366,271   B1   4/2002   Perite et al.   8.010,812   B2   6/2010   Perite   6.437,669   B1   2/2002   Perite et al.   8.018,128   B2   6/2010   Perite   6.437,669   B1   2/2002   Nierich et al.   8.143,361   B2   3/2012   Forbes, Ir. et al.   6.512,966   B2   1/2003   Nierich et al.   8.145,361   B2   3/2012   Forbes, Ir. et al.   6.513,5797   B1   3/2003   Nierich et al.   8.145,361   B2   3/2012   Forbes, Ir. et al.   6.535,797   B1   3/2003   Swinski   8.315,717   B2   11/2012   Forbes, Ir. et al.   6.601,303   B1   7/2003   Swinski   8.315,717   B2   11/2012   Forbes, Ir. et al.   6.602,207   B1   6/2003   Howard   8.359,124   B2   11/2012   Forbes, Ir. et al.   6.602,207   B2   9/2003   Howard   8.359,124   B2   11/2013   Forbes, Ir. et al.   6.633,823   B2   9/2003   Howard   8.359,124   B2   11/2013   Forbes, Ir. et al.   6.633,823   B2   9/2003   Arabrate tal.   8.457,802   B1   6/2013   Sanakar et al.   8.6687,574   B2   2/2004   Firther et al.   8.671,903   B1   1/2013   Forbes, Ir. et al.   6.683,333   B2   1/2013   Forbes, Ir. et al.   6.683,333   B2   1/2004   Forbes, Ir. et al.   6.683,333   B2   1/2003   Sanakar et al.   8.692,000   B1   1/2003   Sanakar et al.   8.671,903   B1   1/2003   Sanakar et al.   8.671,903   B1   1/2003   Sanakar et al.   8.671,903   B1   1/2003   Sanakar et al.   8.682,003   B1   1/2003   Sanakar et al.   8.682,003   B1   1/2003   Sanakar et al.   8.682,003   B1   1/2003   Sanakar et al.								
6.216.956 B1 4/2001 Ehlers et al. 7.650.425 B2 12001 Davis et al. 6.234.009 B1 7/2001 Petite 7.697.492 B2 4/2010 Fetite 7.697.492 B2 4/2010 Fetite 7.697.492 B2 4/2010 Fetite 7.697.492 B2 4/2010 Fetite 8.254.009 B1 7/2001 Petite 7.711.796 B2 5/2010 Fetite 8.254.009 B1 7/2001 Petite 8.254.009 B1 7/2001 Petite 8.254.009 B1 7/2001 Chapman et al. 7.718.999 B2 6/2010 Petite 9.254.009 B1 4/2002 Gelbien 7.738.999 B2 6/2010 Petite 9.254.009 B1 8/2002 Fetite et al. 8.010.812 B2 8/2011 Forbes, Jr. et al. 6.512.960 B2 1/2013 Lofe tal. 8.032.233 B2 10/2011 Forbes, Jr. et al. 6.519.509 B1 2/2003 Nowles et al. 8.260.470 B2 9/2012 Forbes, Jr. et al. 6.537.796 B1 1/2003 Sowinski 8.315.71 B2 9/2012 Forbes, Jr. et al. 6.537.796 B1 1/2003 Sowinski 8.315.71 B2 1/2012 Forbes, Jr. et al. 6.621.179 B1 9/2003 Hunter 8.364.609 B2 1/2013 Zhou et al. 6.622.925 B2 9/2003 Hunter 8.364.609 B2 1/2013 Zhou et al. 6.6323.33 B2 10/2013 Bartone et al. 8.417.569 B2 1/2013 Zhou et al. 6.681.184 B2 1/2004 Sierce et al. 8.417.569 B2 1/2013 Zhou et al. 6.681.184 B2 1/2004 Vierlich et al. 8.457.802 B1 6/2013 Shankar et al. 6.681.184 B2 1/2004 Vierlich et al. 8.571.930 B1 1/2013 Forbes, Jr. et al. 6.681.184 B2 1/2004 Pittie et al. 8.457.802 B1 1/2013 Gross B1 6/2013 Shankar et al. 6.681.184 B2 1/2004 Vierlich et al. 8.571.930 B1 1/2013 Forbes, Jr. 6.632.925 B2 5/2004 Pittie et al. 8.457.802 B1 1/2013 Forbes, Jr. 6.632.935 B2 5/2004 Pittie et al. 8.258.991 B1 1/2013 Forbes, Jr. 6.632.935 B2 5/2004 Pittie et al. 2001/003843 A1 1/2001 Anderson et al. 6.684.549 B2 3/2004 Pittie et al. 2001/003843 A1 1/2003 National et al. 2002/001980 A1 1/2003 National et al. 2003/001980 A1 1/2003 National et al. 2003/001980 A1 1/2003 National et al. 2003/001980 A1 1/2003 Nat								
6.233,327 Bl 5/2001 Petite 7.697,492 B2 4/2010 Gutt et al. 6.234,525 B1 10/2001 Proffit et al. 7.711.796 B2 5/2010 Gutt et al. 6.366,217 B1 4/2002 Gelibien 7.738,999 B2 6/2010 Profit et al. 6.366,217 B1 4/2002 Gelibien 7.738,973 B2 6/2010 Profite 6/374,101 B1 4/2002 Gelibien 7.738,973 B2 6/2010 Profite 6/374,101 B1 4/2002 Gelibien 7.738,973 B2 6/2010 Profite 6/374,101 B1 4/2002 Gelibien 8.803,2233 B2 6/2010 Profite 6/37,090 B1 2/2003 Nortich et al. 8.032,223 B2 70,2011 Forbes, Jr. et al. 6.512,966 B2 1/2003 Nortich et al. 8.143,561 B2 3/2011 Forbes, Jr. et al. 6.519,506 B2 1/2003 Nortich et al. 8.260,470 B2 9/2012 Forbes, Jr. et al. 6.537,707 B1 3/2003 Rowles et al. 8.260,470 B2 9/2012 Forbes, Jr. et al. 6.637,762 B1 6/2003 Afshari 8.307,225 B2 11/2012 Forbes, Jr. et al. 6.621,707 B1 9/2003 Govenish 8.315,717 B2 11/2012 Forbes, Jr. et al. 6.621,707 B1 9/2003 Howard 8.359,124 B2 1/2013 Ozog 6.622,907 B2 9/2003 Hunter 8.364,609 B2 1/2013 Ozog 6.622,907 B2 9/2003 Gurner et al. 8.417,800 B2 1/2013 Ozog 6.622,907 B2 9/2003 Carner et al. 8.417,800 B2 1/2013 Ozog 6.621,800 B2 1/2003 Davis et al. 8.457,802 B1 6/2013 Steven et al. 6.671,586 B2 1/22003 Davis et al. 8.457,802 B1 6/2013 Steven et al. 6.671,586 B2 1/22004 Pottorich et al. 8.571,930 B1 10/2013 Galperin 6.6781,578 B2 1/2004 Pictrowicz et al. 8.583,520 B1 1/2013 Forbes, Jr. r. 6738,807 B2 1/2004 Pictrowicz et al. 8.583,520 B1 1/2013 Forbes, Jr. r. 6738,807 B2 1/2004 Pictrowicz et al. 8.583,502 B1 1/2013 Forbes, Jr. r. 6738,807 B2 1/2004 Pictrowicz et al. 8.2004 Pictrowi								
Chapman et al.   7,715,951 B2   52010   Forbes, Jr. et al.	6,233,327	B1 5/2						
Company		B1 7/2						
Company								
6.437,692 B1 82002 Petrite et al. 8,010,812 B2 8/2011 Forbes, Jr. et al. 6.512,696 B2 1/2003 Iofer al. 8,032,233 B2 10/2012 Forbes, Jr. et al. 6.519,509 B1 2/2003 Bowles et al. 8,260,470 B2 9/2012 Forbes, Jr. et al. 6,537,976 B1 3/2003 Bowles et al. 8,260,470 B2 9/2012 Forbes, Jr. et al. 6,671,962 B1 6/2003 Afshari 8,307,225 B2 11/2012 Forbes, Jr. et al. 6,601,033 B1 7/2003 Sowinski 8,359,124 B2 1/2013 Corps. Jr. et al. 6,621,179 B1 9/2003 Howard 8,359,124 B2 1/2013 Zoog 6,622,097 B2 9/2003 Hunter 8,364,609 B2 1/2013 Zoog 6,622,097 B2 9/2003 Garner et al. 8,447,669 B2 1/2013 Gross 6,633,823 B2 10/2003 Bartone et al. 8,447,802 B1 6/2013 Stean et al. 6,671,586 B2 1/22003 Davis et al. 8,457,802 B1 6/2013 Stean et al. 6,671,586 B2 1/22003 Davis et al. 8,533,520 B1 1/2013 Gross 6,681,154 B2 1/2004 Pictrovicz et al. 8,533,503 B1 1/2013 Shankar et al. 6,681,547 B2 2/204 Pictrovicz et al. 8,533,503 B1 1/2013 Shankar et al. 6,687,574 B2 2/204 Pictrovicz et al. 8,538,309 B1 I1/2013 Forbes, Jr. 6,738,807 B2 8/204 Pictrovicz et al. 2001/0303468 A1 10/201 Galperin 6,683,4811 B1 1/2004 Pictrovicz et al. 2001/0303468 A1 10/201 Garpelli 6,834,811 B1 1/2/2004 Pictrovicz et al. 2001/0303468 A1 10/201 Garpelli 6,834,811 B1 1/2/2004 Pictrovicz et al. 2002/0019802 A1 2/2002 Garpelli 6,834,811 B1 1/2/2004 Pictrovicz et al. 2002/0019804 A1 2/2002 Garpelli 6,834,811 B1 1/2/2004 Pictrovicz et al. 2002/0019802 A1 2/2002 Garpelli 6,834,811 B1 1/2/2004 Pictrovicz et al. 2002/0019802 A1 2/2002 Garpelli 6,834,811 B1 1/2/2004 Pictrovicz et al. 2002/0019802 A1 2/2002 Garpelli 6,834,811 B1 1/2/2004 Pictrovicz et al. 2002/0019802 A1 2/2002 Garpelli 6,834,811 B1 1/2/2004 Pictrovicz et al. 2002/0019802 A1 2/2002 Garpelli 6,834,811 B1 1/2/2004 Pictrovicz et al. 2002/0019802 A1 2/2002 Garpelli 6,834,811 B1 1/2/2004 Pictrovicz et al. 2002/0019802 A1 2/2002 Garpelli 6,834,811 B1 1/2/2004 Pictrovicz et al. 2002/0019802 A1 2/2002 Garpelli 6,834,811 B1 1/2/2004 Pictrovicz et al. 2002/0019802 A1 2/2002 Garpelli 6,834,811 B1 1/2/2004 Pictrovicz et al. 200								
Section	6,437,692	B1 8/2						
6,535,797 BJ   3/2003   Bowles et al.   8,260,470 BJ   29,2011   Forbes, Jr. et al.   6,671,796 BJ   6/2003   Afshari   8,307,225 BZ   11/2012   Forbes, Jr. et al.   6,601,179 BJ   9/2003   Howard   8,359,124 BZ   1/2013   Zhou et al.   6,622,097 BZ   9/2003   Howard   8,359,124 BZ   1/2013   Zhou et al.   6,622,097 BZ   9/2003   Howard   8,364,669 BZ   1/2013   Zhou et al.   6,622,097 BZ   9/2003   Carner et al.   8,457,802 BJ   6/2013   Steven et al.   6,633,383 BZ   1/2003   Bartone et al.   8,457,802 BJ   6/2013   Steven et al.   6,681,154 BZ   1/2040   Fieth et al.   8,571,910 BJ   1/2013   Galperin   6,687,574 BZ   2/2040   Fieth et al.   8,583,520 BJ   11/2013   Forbes, Jr.   6,732,055 BZ   5/2004   Spool et al.   2001/0030468 AJ   10/2001   Aderson et al.   6,778,882 BZ   8/2004   Spool et al.   2001/003343 AJ   11/2001   Meyer et al.   6,834,811 BJ   1/2004   Huberman et al.   2002/0019802 AJ   2/2002   Scarpelli   6,834,811 BJ   1/2004   Fuberman et al.   2002/0019802 AJ   2/2002   Scarpelli   6,836,373 BZ   1/2004   Et al.   2002/0019802 AJ   2/2002   Scarpelli   6,868,293 BJ   3/2005   Davis et al.   2002/019802 AJ   1/2002   Davis et al.   6,868,6393 BJ   3/2005   Schurr et al.   2002/0198679 AJ   1/2002   Davis et al.   6,868,6393 BJ   3/2005   Petife et al.   2002/0198629 AJ   1/2002   Davis et al.   6,868,6393 BJ   3/2005   Petife et al.   2002/0198629 AJ   1/2002   Davis et al.   6,868,6393 BJ   3/2005   Petife et al.   2002/0198629 AJ   1/2002   Davis et al.   6,868,6393 BJ   3/2005   Petife et al.   2003/0009705 AJ   1/2003   Mason et al.   6,868,6393 BJ   3/2005   Petife et al.   2003/0009705 AJ   1/2003   Thelander et al.   6,904,336 BJ   2/2005   Petife et al.   2003/0009705 AJ   1/2003   Calperdy et al.   6,904,336 BJ   2/2005   Petife et al.   2003/0009705 AJ   1/2003   Calperdy et al.   6,904,336 BJ   2/2005   Petife et al.   2003/0009705 AJ   1/2003   Calperdy et al.   6,904,336 BJ   2/2006   Petife et al.   2003/0009705 AJ   1/2003   Calperdy et al.   6,904,538 BJ   2/2006   Petife								
Section   Sect								
6,601,033 B1   7,2003 Sowinski   8,315,717 B2   11/2012 Forbes, Jr. et al.								
Section of Color   Color of		B1 7/2	2003	Sowinski				
6,622,925 B2   9,02003   Carner et al.   8,417,869 B2   4,2013   Gross   6,633,823 B2   10/2003   Bartone et al.   8,457,802 B1   6,2013   Steven et al.   6,661,154 B2   12/2004   Pietre worker et al.   8,571,930 B1   10/2013   Galperin   6,667,574 B2   2,0204   Pietre worker et al.   8,583,520 B1   11/2013   Forbes, Jr.   6,732,055 B2   5/2004   Bagepalli et al.   8,588,991 B1   11/2013   Forbes, Jr.   6,732,055 B2   5/2004   Bagepalli et al.   2001/003468 A1   10/2001   Anderson et al.   6,748,807 B2   8/2004   Poel et al.   2001/003343 A1   11/2001   Meyer et al.   6,832,135 B2   12/2004   Wing   2002/0019758 A1   2/2002   Scarpelli   6,834,811 B1   12/2004   Huberman et al.   2002/0019802 A1   2/2002   Scarpelli   6,836,737 B2   12/2004   Petite et al.   2002/0019802 A1   2/2002   Malme et al.   6,866,489 B2   3/2005   Masticola et al.   2002/0104607 A1   8/2002   Fukushima et al.   6,865,480 B2   3/2005   Masticola et al.   2002/01043693 A1   10/2002   Davis et al.   6,868,293 B1   3/2005   Schurr et al.   2002/016468 A1   10/2002   Davis et al.   6,879,059 B2   4/2005   Sleva   2002/016468 A1   10/2002   Davis et al.   6,891,838 B1   5/2005   Petite et al.   2002/016468 A1   10/2002   Davis et al.   6,904,316 B2   6/2005   Kaines et al.   2003/0009401   A1*   1/2003   Ellis   6,904,316 B2   6/2005   Van Der   2003/0009305 A1   1/2002   Mascapara   6,914,533 B2   7/2005   Petite   2003/014866 A1   2/2003   Statake   6,914,533 B2   7/2005   Petite   2003/0149369 A1   1/2003   Statake   6,914,533 B2   7/2005   Petite   2003/0149369 A1   1/2003   Statake   6,914,533 B2   7/2005   Petite   2003/0149369 A1   1/2003   Statake   6,914,633 B2   7/2005   Petite   2003/0149369 A1   1/2003   Statake   6,914,533 B2   7/2005   Petite   2003/0149369 A1   1/2003   Statake   6,914,633 B2   7/2005   Petite   2003/0149369 A1   1/2003   Statake   6,914,533 B2   7/2005   Petite   2003/0149369 A1   1/2003   Statake   6,914,633 B2   7/2005   Petite   2004/014864 A1   1/2003   Statake   6,914,633 B2   7/2005   Petite   2004/01							1/2013	Zhou et al.
10,2003   Bartone et al.   8,457,802   B1   6,2013   Steven et al.								
6,671,586 B2 12/2003 Davis et al. 8,473,111 B1 6/681,154 B2 11/2004 Nierlich et al. 8,571,930 B1 10/2013 Shankar et al. 6,687,574 B2 2/2004 Pietrowicz et al. 8,581,930 B1 11/2013 Forbes, Jr. 6/732,055 B2 5/2004 Bagepalli et al. 2001/0030468 A1 10/2013 Forbes, Jr. 11/2013 Forbes, Jr. 11/2013 Forbes, Jr. 11/2013 Forbes, Jr. 11/2014 Forbes, Jr. 11/2014 Forbes, Jr. 11/2015 Forbes, Jr. 11/2016 Forbes, Jr. 11/2016 Forbes, Jr. 11/2017 Forbes, Jr. 11/2017 Forbes, Jr. 11/2017 Forbes, Jr. 11/2017 Forbes, Jr. 11/2018 Forbes, Jr. 11/2019 Forbes, Jr. 11							6/2013	Steven et al.
Section								
Signature   Sign	6,681,154	B2 1/2						
6,778,882 B2   8/2004   Spool et al.   2001/0030468 A1   10/2001   Anderson et al.		B2 2/2 B2 5/2						
6,784,807 B2 8/2004 Petite et al. 2001/0038343 A1 11/2001 Meyer et al. 6,832,135 B2 12/2004 Ying 2002/0019758 A1 2/2002 Scarpelli   6,834,811 B1 12/2004 Huberman et al. 2002/0019802 A1 2/2002 Malme et al.   6,863,498 B2 3/2005 Davis et al. 2002/009607 A1 8/2002 Cumeralto et al.   6,865,449 B2 3/2005 Masticola et al. 2002/0138176 A1 9/2002 Davis et al.   6,868,293 B1 3/2005 Schurr et al. 2002/0138176 A1 9/2002 Davis et al.   6,879,059 B2 4/2005 Sleva 2002/0161648 A1 10/2002 Soestbergen et al.   6,891,838 B1 5/2005 Sleva 2002/0161648 A1 10/2002 Soestbergen et al.   6,904,336 B2 6/2005 Raines et al. 2002/0198629 A1 12/2002 Ellis   6,904,336 B2 6/2005 Van Der 2003/00090705 A1 1/2003 Ellis   6,904,336 B2 6/2005 Van Der 2003/00090705 A1 1/2003 Thelander et al.   6,909,942 B2 6/2005 Petite 2003/003880 A1 5/2003 Stake   6,914,533 B2 7/2005 Petite 2003/003880 A1 5/2003 Stake   6,934,316 B2 8/2005 Cornwall et al. 2003/0144864 A1 7/2003 Mazzarella   6,934,316 B2 8/2005 Cornwall et al. 2003/0144864 A1 7/2003 Mazzarella   6,941,641 B1 11/2005 Forth et al. 2003/0149937 A1 8/2003 Mcelfresh et al.   6,990,593 B2 1/2006 Mayo et al. 2003/0225483 A1 12/2003 Santinato et al.   7,033,670 B2 3/2006 Petite et al. 2003/0233201 A1 12/2003 Santinato et al.   7,035,779 B2 4/2006 Hunter 2004/0006439 A1 1/2004 Hunter   7,033,571 B2 8/2006 Petite et al. 2004/0006439 A1 1/2004 Hunter   7,053,776 B2 5/2006 Hunter 2004/00044871 A1 3/2004 Boronimann et al.   7,103,511 B2 9/2006 Petite et al. 2004/0008808 A1 5/2004 Davis et al.   7,103,511 B2 9/2006 Petite et al. 2004/0008808 A1 5/2004 Policombe   7,103,513 B2 11/2006 Raines et al. 2004/0158478 A1 8/2004 Sonnimann et al.   7,114,1321 B2 11/2006 Raines et al. 2004/0158478 A1 8/2004 Sonnimann et al.   7,114,1321 B2 11/2006 Mearthur et al. 2004/0162793 A1 8/2004 Sontiann et al.   7,104,612 A1								
6,834,811 B1 12/2004 Huberman et al. 2002/0019802 A1 2/2002 Malme et al. 6,836,436 B2 12/2004 Petite et al. 2002/0035496 A1 3/2002 Fukushima et al. 8/2002 Cumeralto et al. 6,862,498 B2 3/2005 Masticola et al. 2002/0138176 A1 9/2002 Davis et al. 2002/0138176 A1 9/2002 Davis et al. 2002/0138176 A1 9/2002 Davis et al. 2002/0138176 A1 10/2002 Sebergen et al. 2002/01461648 A1 10/2002 Mason et al. 2002/01461648 A1 10/2002 Ellis 15/2005 Petite et al. 2002/0198629 A1 12/2002 Ellis 2003/0009401 A1* 1/2003 Ellis 2003/0009401 A1* 1/2003 Ellis 2003/0009401 A1* 1/2003 Ellis 2003/0009401 A1* 1/2003 Ellis 2003/036820 A1 1/2003 Fetite 2003/036820 A1 1/2003 Fetite 2003/036820 A1 1/2003 Fetite 2003/036820 A1 1/2003 Fetite 2003/044936 A1 1/2003 Fetite 2003/044936 A1 1/2003 Fetite 2003/044936 A1 1/2003 Fetite 2003/044936 A1 1/2003 Fetite 2003/044937 A1 1/2003 Fetite 2003/04493 A1 1/2004 Fetite 41. 2004/044451 A1 3/2004 Fetite 41. 2004/04457 A1 3/2004 Fetite 41. 2004/04554 A1 8/2004 Feti	6,784,807	B2 8/2	2004	Petite et al.				
6,836,737 B2 12/2004 Petite et al. 2002/0035496 A1 3/2002 Fukushima et al. 6,862,498 B2 3/2005 Davis et al. 2002/010807 A1 8/2002 Cumeralto et al. 4. 8/2002 Cumeralto et al. 2002/0138176 A1 9/2002 Davis et al. 2002/0138176 A1 9/2002 Soestbergen et al. 2002/0143693 A1 10/2002 Soestbergen et al. 2002/0143693 A1 10/2002 Soestbergen et al. 2002/01648 A1 10/2002 Ellis Soestbergen et al. 2003/0009401 A1 1/2003 Ellis Soestb								
6,862,498 B2 3/2005 Davis et al. 2002/019807 A1 8/2002 Cumeralto et al. 6,865,450 B2 3/2005 Schurr et al. 2002/0138176 A1 9/2002 Davis et al. 2002/0143693 A1 10/2002 Soestbergen et al. 6,879,059 B2 4/2005 Sleva 2002/0161648 A1 10/2002 Mason et al. 2002/0143693 A1 10/2002 Ellis Elli	, ,							
6,868,293 B1 3/2005 Schurr et al. 2002/0143693 A1 10/2002 Soestbergen et al. 6,879,059 B2 4/2005 Sleva 2002/016468 A1 10/2002 Mason et al. 6,879,059 B2 4/2005 Petite et al. 2002/0198629 A1 12/2002 Ellis Flis Flis Flis Flis Flis Flis Flis								
6,879,059 B2 4/2005 Sleva 2002/0161648 A1 10/2002 Mason et al. 6,891,838 B1 5/2005 Petite et al. 2002/0198629 A1 12/2002 Ellis								
6,891,838 B1 5/2005 Petite et al. 2002/0198629 A1 12/2002 Ellis								
6,904,336 B2 6/2005 Raines et al. 2003/0009401 A1 1/2003 Ellis							12/2002	Ellis
6,909,942 B2 6/2005 Andarawis et al. 2003/0036820 A1 2/2003 Yellepeddy et al. 6,914,533 B2 7/2005 Petite 2003/0083980 A1 5/2003 Mazzarella 6,914,893 B2 7/2005 Petite 2003/014864 A1 7/2003 Mazzarella 6,934,316 B2 8/2005 Cornwall et al. 2003/0149937 A1 8/2003 Mcelfresh et al. 6,961,641 B1 11/2005 Forth et al. 2003/0176952 A1 9/2003 Collins et al. 6,990,593 B2 1/2006 Nakagawa 2003/0225483 A1 12/2003 Santinato et al. 7,003,640 B2 2/2006 Mayo et al. 2003/0229572 A1 12/2003 Raines et al. 7,019,667 B2 3/2006 Petite et al. 2003/023201 A1 12/2003 Horst et al. 2003/033201 A1 12/2003 Horst et al. 2004/0006439 A1 1/2004 Hunter 2004/0006439 A1 1/2004 Hunter 2004/00044871 A1 3/2004 Holcombe 7,053,767 B2 5/2006 Petite et al. 2004/0044571 A1 3/2004 Bronnimann et al. 7,088,014 B2 8/2006 Nierlich et al. 2004/0044571 A1 3/2004 Bronnimann et al. 7,103,511 B2 9/2006 Petite et al. 2004/017025 A1 6/2004 Ransom et al. 7,123,994 B2 10/2006 Weik et al. 2004/018266 A1 7/2004 Yellepeddy et al. 7,133,750 B2 11/2006 Mcarthur et al. 2004/0153170 A1 8/2004 Santacatterina et al. 7,141,321 B2 11/2006 Mcarthur et al. 2004/0158478 A1 8/2004 Scott et al. 7,177,728 B2 2/2007 Gardner 2004/0162793 A1 8/2004 Scott et al 705/412 7,181,320 B2 2/2007 Whiffen et al. 2004/0162793 A1 8/2004 Scott et al.	6,904,336	B2 6/2						
6,914,533 B2 7/2005 Petite 2003/0083980 A1 5/2003 Mazzarella (6,914,893 B2 7/2005 Petite 2003/0144864 A1 7/2003 Mazzarella (6,934,316 B2 8/2005 Cornwall et al. 2003/0149937 A1 8/2003 Mazzarella (6,961,641 B1 11/2005 Forth et al. 2003/0176952 A1 9/2003 Collins et al. (6,990,593 B2 1/2006 Nakagawa 2003/0225483 A1 12/2003 Santinato et al. (7,003,640 B2 2/2006 Mayo et al. 2003/0233201 A1 12/2003 Raines et al. (7,019,667 B2 3/2006 Petite et al. 2003/0233201 A1 12/2003 Horst et al. (7,035,719 B2 4/2006 Howard et al. 2004/0006439 A1 1/2004 Hunter (7,039,532 B2 5/2006 Petite et al. 2004/0004483 A1 2/2004 Holcombe (7,053,767 B2 5/2006 Petite et al. 2004/0044571 A1 3/2004 Bronnimann et al. (7,088,014 B2 8/2006 Petite et al. 2004/0044571 A1 3/2004 Bronnimann et al. (7,103,511 B2 9/2006 Petite (2,004/017025 A1 6/2004 Ransom et al. (7,123,994 B2 10/2006 Weik et al. 2004/018266 A1 7/2004 Vellepeddy et al. (7,141,321 B2 11/2006 Mazrthur et al. 2004/0153170 A1 8/2004 Santacatterina et al. (7,177,728 B2 2/2007 Gardner 2004/0162793 A1 8/2004 Scott et al. (705/412 7,181,320 B2 2/2007 Whiffen et al. 2004/0162793 A1 8/2004 Scott et al.								
6,914,893 B2 7/2005 Petite 2003/0144864 A1 7/2003 Mazzarella 6,934,316 B2 8/2005 Cornwall et al. 2003/0149937 A1 8/2003 Mcelfresh et al. 6,961,641 B1 11/2005 Forth et al. 2003/0176952 A1 9/2003 Collins et al. 7,003,640 B2 2/2006 Mayo et al. 2003/0225483 A1 12/2003 Santinato et al. 7,019,667 B2 3/2006 Petite et al. 2003/0233201 A1 12/2003 Horst et al. 7,035,719 B2 4/2006 Howard et al. 2004/0006439 A1 12/2004 Hunter 7,039,532 B2 5/2006 Hunter 2004/0024483 A1 2/2004 Holcombe 7,053,767 B2 5/2006 Petite et al. 2004/0044571 A1 3/2004 Bronnimann et al. 7,088,014 B2 8/2006 Nierlich et al. 2004/0088083 A1 5/2004 Davis et al. 7,103,511 B2 9/2006 Weik et al. 2004/017025 A1 6/2004 Ransom et al. 7,133,750 B2 11/2006 Raines et al. 2004/0128266 A1 7/2004 Yellepeddy et al. 7,133,750 B2 11/2006 Raines et al. 2004/0158478 A1 8/2004 Santacatterina et al. 7,177,728 B2 2/2007 Gardner 2004/0158541 A1 8/2004 Notarianni et al 705/412 7,181,320 B2 2/2007 Whiffen et al. 2004/0162793 A1 8/2004 Scott et al.								
6,934,316 B2 8/2005 Cornwall et al. 6,961,641 B1 11/2005 Forth et al. 6,990,593 B2 1/2006 Nakagawa 2003/0225483 A1 12/2003 Santinato et al. 7,003,640 B2 2/2006 Mayo et al. 2003/0229572 A1 12/2003 Raines et al. 12/2004 Horst et al. 12/2004 Hunter 12/2004 Holcombe 12/2004 Roines et al. 12/2004 Raines et al. 1					2003/0144864	A1		
6,990,593 B2 1/2006 Nakagawa 2003/0225483 A1 12/2003 Santinato et al. 7,003,640 B2 2/2006 Mayo et al. 2003/0229572 A1 12/2003 Horst et al. 7,019,667 B2 3/2006 Petite et al. 2004/0006439 A1 1/2004 Hunter 40. 4/2006 Hunter 2004/0024483 A1 1/2004 Holcombe 7,035,719 B2 5/2006 Petite et al. 2004/0044571 A1 3/2004 Holcombe 7,053,767 B2 5/2006 Petite et al. 2004/0044571 A1 3/2004 Bronnimann et al. 7,088,014 B2 8/2006 Nierlich et al. 2004/0088083 A1 5/2004 Davis et al. 7,103,511 B2 9/2006 Petite 2004/0107025 A1 6/2004 Ransom et al. 7,123,994 B2 10/2006 Weik et al. 2004/0128266 A1 7/2004 Yellepeddy et al. 7,133,750 B2 11/2006 Mcarthur et al. 2004/0153170 A1 8/2004 Santacatterina et al. 7,141,321 B2 11/2006 Mcarthur et al. 2004/0158478 A1 8/2004 Scott et al. 7,177,728 B2 2/2007 Gardner 2004/0162793 A1 8/2004 Scott et al. 705/412 7,181,320 B2 2/2007 Whiffen et al. 2004/0162793 A1 8/2004 Scott et al.	6,934,316	B2 8/2	2005	Cornwall et al.				
7,003,640 B2 2/2006 Mayo et al. 2003/0229572 A1 12/2003 Raines et al. 12/2003 A1 12/2003 Horst et al. 2003/0233201 A1 12/2003 Horst et al. 2003/0233201 A1 12/2004 Horst et al. 2004/0006439 A1 1/2004 Hunter 2004/0024483 A1 2/2004 Holcombe 3/2004/0044571 A1 3/2004 Holcombe 3/20								
7,019,667 B2 3/2006 Petite et al. 2003/0233201 A1 12/2003 Horst et al. 7,035,719 B2 4/2006 Howard et al. 2004/0006439 A1 1/2004 Hunter 2004/0024483 A1 2/2004 Holcombe 3/2004 Hunter 2004/0044571 A1 3/2004 Holcombe 3/2006 Petite et al. 2004/0044571 A1 3/2004 Bronnimann et al. 7,088,014 B2 8/2006 Petite et al. 2004/0088083 A1 5/2004 Davis et al. 7,103,511 B2 9/2006 Petite 2004/0107025 A1 6/2004 Ransom et al. 7,123,994 B2 10/2006 Weik et al. 2004/0128266 A1 7/2004 Yellepeddy et al. 7,133,750 B2 11/2006 Raines et al. 2004/0158170 A1 8/2004 Santacatterina et al. 7,141,321 B2 11/2006 Mcarthur et al. 2004/0158478 A1 8/2004 Zimmerman 7,177,728 B2 2/2007 Gardner 2004/0158541 A1 8/2004 Scott et al. 8/2004 Scott et al.								
7,039,532 B2 5/2006 Hunter 2004/0024483 A1 2/2004 Holcombe 7,053,767 B2 5/2006 Petite et al. 2004/0044571 A1 3/2004 Bronnimann et al. 3/2004 Davis et al. 5/2006 Petite et al. 2004/0107025 A1 6/2004 Davis et al. 7,103,511 B2 9/2006 Petite 2004/0107025 A1 6/2004 Ransom et al. 7,123,994 B2 10/2006 Weik et al. 2004/0128266 A1 7/2004 Yellepeddy et al. 7,133,750 B2 11/2006 Raines et al. 2004/0153170 A1 8/2004 Santacatterina et al. 7,141,321 B2 11/2006 Mcarthur et al. 2004/0158478 A1 8/2004 Zimmerman 7,177,728 B2 2/2007 Gardner 2004/0158541 A1 8/2004 Scott et al. 705/412 7,181,320 B2 2/2007 Whiffen et al. 2004/0162793 A1 8/2004 Scott et al.							12/2003	Horst et al.
7,053,767 B2 5/2006 Petite et al. 2004/0044571 A1 3/2004 Bronnimann et al. 7,088,014 B2 8/2006 Nierlich et al. 2004/0088083 A1 5/2004 Davis et al. 7,103,511 B2 9/2006 Petite 2004/0107025 A1 6/2004 Ransom et al. 7,123,994 B2 10/2006 Weik et al. 2004/0128266 A1 7/2004 Yellepeddy et al. 7,133,750 B2 11/2006 Raines et al. 2004/0153170 A1 8/2004 Santacatterina et al. 7,141,321 B2 11/2006 Mcarthur et al. 2004/0158478 A1 8/2004 Zimmerman 7,177,728 B2 2/2007 Gardner 2004/0158541 A1 8/2004 Notarianni et al 705/412 7,181,320 B2 2/2007 Whiffen et al. 2004/0162793 A1 8/2004 Scott et al.								
7,088,014 B2 8/2006 Nierlich et al. 2004/0088083 A1 5/2004 Davis et al. 7,103,511 B2 9/2006 Petite 2004/0107025 A1 6/2004 Ransom et al. 7,123,994 B2 10/2006 Weik et al. 2004/0128266 A1 7/2004 Yellepeddy et al. 7,133,750 B2 11/2006 Raines et al. 2004/0153170 A1 8/2004 Santacatterina et al. 7,141,321 B2 11/2006 Mcarthur et al. 2004/0158478 A1 8/2004 Zimmerman 7,177,728 B2 2/2007 Gardner 2004/0158541 A1 8/2004 Notarianni et al 705/412 7,181,320 B2 2/2007 Whiffen et al. 2004/0162793 A1 8/2004 Scott et al.								
7,103,511 B2 9/2006 Petite 2004/0107025 A1 6/2004 Ransom et al. 7,123,994 B2 10/2006 Weik et al. 2004/0128266 A1 7/2004 Yellepeddy et al. 7,133,750 B2 11/2006 Raines et al. 2004/0153170 A1 8/2004 Santacatterina et al. 7,141,321 B2 11/2006 Mcarthur et al. 2004/0158478 A1 8/2004 Zimmerman 7,177,728 B2 2/2007 Gardner 2004/0158541 A1* 8/2004 Notarianni et al								
7,123,994       B2       10/2006       Weik et al.       2004/0128266       A1       7/2004       Yellepeddy et al.         7,133,750       B2       11/2006       Raines et al.       2004/0153170       A1       8/2004       Santacatterina et al.         7,141,321       B2       11/2006       Mcarthur et al.       2004/0158478       A1       8/2004       Zimmerman         7,177,728       B2       2/2007       Gardner       2004/0158541       A1*       8/2004       Notarianni et al.							6/2004	Ransom et al.
7,141,321 B2 11/2006 Mcarthur et al. 2004/0158478 A1 8/2004 Zimmerman 7,177,728 B2 2/2007 Gardner 2004/0158541 A1 8/2004 Notarianni et al	7,123,994	B2 10/2	2006	Weik et al.				
7,177,728 B2 2/2007 Gardner 2004/0158541 A1 * 8/2004 Notarianni et al								
7,181,320 B2 2/2007 Whiffen et al. 2004/0162793 A1 8/2004 Scott et al.								

# US 9,130,402 B2 Page 3

(56)	Referen	ces Cited	2008/0130673	A1		Cregg et al.
11.5	DATENIT	DOCUMENTS	2008/0147465 2008/0154801			Raines et al. Fein et al.
0.5.	IMILITI	DOCUMENTS	2008/0165714			Dettinger et al.
2004/0225514 A1	11/2004	Greenshields et al.	2008/0172312			Synesiou et al.
2004/0230533 A1	11/2004		2008/0177423 2008/0177678			Brickfield et al. Di Martini et al.
2005/0021397 A1		Cui et al.	2008/0177678			Magdon-Ismail et al.
2005/0033481 A1 2005/0055432 A1		Budhraja et al. Rodgers	2008/0224892			Bogolea et al.
2005/0065742 A1		Rodgers	2008/0231114		9/2008	Tolnar et al.
2005/0080772 A1	4/2005	Bem	2008/0238710			Tolnar et al.
2005/0096856 A1		Lubkeman et al.	2008/0249832 2008/0255899			Richardson et al. Mcconnell et al.
2005/0096857 A1 2005/0096979 A1		Hunter Koningstein	2008/0263025		10/2008	
2005/0097979 A1 2005/0097204 A1		Horowitz et al.	2008/0270223			Collins et al.
2005/0125243 A1		Villalobos	2008/0281473			Pitt 700/291
2005/0127680 A1		Lof et al.	2008/0306824 2008/0306830			Parkinson Lasa et al.
2005/0138432 A1 2005/0192711 A1		Ransom et al. Raines et al.	2008/0319893			Mashinsky et al.
2005/0192711 A1		Weik et al.	2009/0012996	A1	1/2009	Gupta et al.
2005/0216302 A1		Raji et al.	2009/0018884			Mcconnell et al.
2005/0216580 A1		Raji et al.	2009/0024718 2009/0043519			Anagnostopoulos et al. Bridges et al.
2005/0234600 A1 2005/0240314 A1		Boucher et al. Martinez	2009/0043520			Pollack et al.
2005/0240314 A1 2005/0240315 A1		Booth et al.	2009/0045804	A1	2/2009	Durling et al.
2005/0246190 A1	11/2005	Sandor et al.	2009/0055031		2/2009	
2005/0267642 A1		Whiffen et al.	2009/0062970 2009/0063228			Forbes, Jr. et al. Forbes, Jr.
2005/0276222 A1 2005/0288954 A1		Kumar et al. Mccarthy et al.	2009/0003228			Lewis et al.
2006/0020544 A1		Kaveski	2009/0112701			Turpin et al.
2006/0020596 A1		Liu et al.	2009/0112758			Herzig
2006/0022841 A1		Hoiness et al.	2009/0124241 2009/0125462			Krishnaswamy et al.
2006/0025891 A1		Budike	2009/0125462			Krishnaswamy et al. Veillette
2006/0031934 A1 2006/0064205 A1	3/2006	Kriegel Vino	2009/0138362		5/2009	
2006/0069616 A1	3/2006		2009/0187344			Brancaccio et al.
2006/0106635 A1		Ulrich et al.	2009/0187499			Mulder et al.
2006/0142900 A1		Rothman et al.	2009/0198384 2009/0228335		8/2009 9/2009	Ann Niyogi et al.
2006/0142961 A1 2006/0161450 A1		Johnson et al. Carey et al.	2009/0240381		9/2009	
2006/0168191 A1	7/2006		2009/0240677			Parekh et al.
2006/0190354 A1		Meisel et al.	2009/0281673		11/2009	
2006/0195334 A1		Reeb et al.	2009/0319415 2010/0076835		12/2009 3/2010	Stoilov et al. Silverman
2006/0212350 A1 2006/0224615 A1		Ellis et al. Korn et al.	2010/0106575			Bixby et al.
2006/0271244 A1		Cumming et al.	2010/0106641			Chassin et al.
2006/0271314 A1	11/2006		2010/0138452		6/2010 7/2010	Henkin et al.
2006/0276938 A1	12/2006		2010/0169175 2010/0191862			Forbes, Jr. et al.
2006/0282328 A1 2007/0021874 A1		Gerace et al. Rognli et al.	2010/0217452			Mccord et al.
2007/0038563 A1	2/2007	Ryzerski	2010/0217549			Galvin et al.
2007/0058453 A1		Shaffer et al.	2010/0217550 2010/0217642			Crabtree et al. Crubtree et al.
2007/0058629 A1 2007/0070895 A1	3/2007	Luft Narvaez	2010/0217042			Crabtree et al.
2007/0070893 A1 2007/0085702 A1		Walters et al.	2010/0235008		9/2010	Forbes, Jr. et al.
2007/0091900 A1		Asthana et al.	2010/0274407		10/2010	
2007/0100503 A1		Balan et al.	2010/0293045 2010/0306033			Burns et al. Oved et al.
2007/0100961 A1 2007/0150353 A1		Moore Krassner et al.	2010/0300033			Crabtree et al.
2007/0150535 A1 2007/0156621 A1		Wright et al.	2011/0025556		2/2011	Bridges et al.
2007/0156887 A1		Wright et al.	2011/0055036		3/2011	
2007/0174114 A1		Bigby et al.	2011/0060474 2011/0080044			Schmiegel et al. Schmiegel
2007/0192333 A1 2007/0203722 A1	8/2007	Alı Richards et al.	2011/0030044			Billingsley et al.
2007/0203722 A1 2007/0204176 A1		Shaffer et al.	2011/0115302			Slota et al.
2007/0213878 A1	9/2007		2011/0133655			Recker et al.
2007/0214118 A1		Schoen et al.	2011/0145061 2011/0161250			Spurr et al. Koeppel et al.
2007/0214132 A1 2007/0255457 A1		Grubb et al. Whitcomb et al.	2011/0101230			Forbes, Jr.
2007/0253437 A1 2007/0260540 A1		Chau et al.	2011/0185303			Katagi et al.
2007/0286210 A1	12/2007	Gutt et al.	2011/0196546			Muller et al.
2007/0291644 A1		Roberts et al.	2011/0204717			Shaffer
2007/0299562 A1	12/2007		2011/0208366 2011/0235656		8/2011	Taft Pigeon
2008/0010212 A1 2008/0015976 A1		Moore et al. Sandor et al.	2011/0235656		10/2011	
2008/0040223 A1		Bridges et al.	2011/0257809			Forbes, Jr. et al.
2008/0091625 A1		Kremen	2011/0258022	A1	10/2011	Forbes, Jr. et al.
2008/0104026 A1	5/2008		2012/0029897			Cherian et al 703/18
2008/0109387 A1	5/2008	Deaver et al.	2012/0196482	Al	8/2012	Stokoe

#### (56) References Cited

#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2012/0205977	A1	8/2012	Shin et al.	
2012/0221162	A1	8/2012	Forbes, Jr.	
2012/0223840	A1	9/2012	Guymon et al.	
2012/0226384	A1*		Forbes, Jr	700/291
2012/0232816	A1	9/2012	Oh et al.	
2012/0259760	A1	10/2012	Sgouridis et al.	
2012/0296799	A1	11/2012	Playfair et al.	
2012/0316697	$\mathbf{A}1$	12/2012	Boardman et al.	
2013/0035802	A1	2/2013	Khaitan et al.	
2013/0036311	A1*	2/2013	Akyol et al	713/189
2013/0079939	A1		Darden, Ii et al.	
2013/0079943	$\mathbf{A}1$	3/2013	Darden, Ii et al.	
2013/0144768	A1	6/2013	Rohrbaugh	
2014/0025486	$\mathbf{A}1$	1/2014	Bigby et al.	
2014/0039703	$\mathbf{A}1$	2/2014	Forbes, Jr.	

#### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

JP	2001306839 A	11/2001
JР	2004180412 A	6/2004
JP	2004248174 A	9/2004
JP	2006060911 A	3/2006
JP	2007132553 A	5/2007
KR	2005001584	1/2005
KR	2006001584	1/2005
KR	20050045272 A	5/2005
KR	20060036171 A	4/2006
KR	20070008321 A	1/2007
KR	100701298 B1	3/2007
KR	20070098172 A	10/2007
KR	20080112692 A	12/2008
WO	2007136456 A	11/2007
WO	2008125696 A	10/2008

# OTHER PUBLICATIONS

B.J. Kirby, Spinning Reserve from Responsive Loads, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, United States Dept. of Energy, Mar. 2003 (54 pages).

C.W. Gellings and W.M. Smith, Integrating Demand-Side Management into Utility Planning, Proceedings of the IEEE, vol. 77, Issue: Jun. 6, 1989, pp. 908-918 (Abstract only).

Eric Hirst and Brendan Kirby, Opportunities for Demand Participation in New England Contingency-Reserve Markets, New England Demand Response Initiative, Feb. 2003 (15 pages).

Eric Hirst and Richard Cowart, Demand Side Resources and Reliability, New England Demand Response Initiative, Mar. 20, 2002 (32 pages).

International Search Report and Written Opinion dated Dec. 20, 2010 issoed by the United States Patent and Trademark Office as International Searching Authority in connection with related International Application No. PCT/US10/02676 (20 pages).

International Search Report and Written Opinion dated Dec. 21, 2010 issued by the Korean Intellectual Property Office as International Searching Authority in connection with related International Application No. PCT/US2010/034247 (7 pages).

International Search Report and Written Opinion dated Dec. 22, 2010 issued by the Korean Intellectual Property Office as International Searching Authority in connection with related International Application No. PCT/US2010/034418 (7 pages).

International Search Report and Written Opinion dated Dec. 22, 2010 issued by the Korean Intellectual Property Office as International Searching Authority in connection with related International Application No. PCT/US2010/034409 (7 pages).

International Search Report and Written Opinion dated Feb. 25, 2009 issued by the Korean Intellectual Property Office as International Searching Authority in connection with international Application No. PCT/US2008/01099 (7 pages).

International Search Report and Written Opinion dated Feb. 25, 2009 issued by the Korean Intellectual Property Office as International

Searching Authority in connection with International Application No. PCT/US2008/010199 (7 pages).

International Search Report and Written Opinion dated Jul. 15, 2010 issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office as International Searching Authority in connection with International Application No. PCT/US10/01354 (10 pages).

International Search Report and Written Opinion dated Jul. 23, 2010 issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office as International Searching Authority in connection with International Application No. PCT/US10/01489 (11 pages).

International Search Report and Written Opinion dated Mar. 6, 2009 issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office as International Searching Authority in connection with related International Application No. PCT/US10/010200 (8 pages).

International Search Report and Written Opinion dated May 31, 2011 issued by the Korean Intellectual Property Office as International Searching Authority in connection with related International Application No. PCT/US2010/002709 (7 pages).

International Search Report and Written Opinion dated Nov. 30, 2010 issued by the Korean Intellectual Property Office as International Searching Authority in connection with related International Application No. PCT/US2010/034395 (8 pages).

Kathleen Spees and Lester B. Lave, Demand Response and Electricity Market Efficiency, The Electricity Journal, vol. 20, Issue 3, Apr. 2007 (online Mar. 27, 2007), pp. 69-85 (Abstract only).

L.T. Anstine, R.E. Burke, J.E. Casey, R. Holgate, R.S. John, and H.G. Stewart, Application of Probability Methods to the Determination of Spinning Reserve Requirements for the Pennsylvania-New Jersey-Maryland Interconnection; IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems, vol. 82, Issue 68, Oct. 1963, pp. 726-735 (Abstract only).

M. Rashidi-Nejad, Y.H. Song, and M.H. Javidi-Dasht-Bayaz, Operating Reserve Provision in Deregulated Power Markets, IEEE Power Engineering Society Winter Meeting, vol. 2, 2002, pp. 1305-1310 (Abstract only).

Mashiro Inoue, Toshiyasu Higuma, Yoshiaki Ito, Noriyuki Kushiro and Hitoshi Kubota, Network Architecture for Home Energy Management System, IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics, vol. 49, Issue 3, Aug. 2003, pp. 606-613 (8 pages).

Michael Ahlheim and Friedrich Schneider; "Allowing for Household Preferences in Emission Trading, A Contribution to the Climate Policy Debate"; Environmental and Resource Economics, vol. 21, pp. 317-342; Kluwer Academic Publishers; The Netherlands; 2002. Notice of Allowability dated Aug. 31, 2010, as entered in related U.S. Appl. No. 12/715,124 (5 pages).

Notice of Allowability dated Dec. 23, 2009, U.S. Appl. No. 11/895,909 (4 pages).

Office Action dated Jan. 7, 2011 as issued by the Australian Patent Office (Australian Government IP Australia) in connection with related Australian Application No. 2008296979 (2 pages).

Office Action dated Jul. 17, 2009, U.S. Appl. No. 11/895,909 (11 pages).

Office Action dated May 10, 2011 as issued by the Japanese Patent Office in connection with counterpart Japanese Application No. 2010/522953 (3 pages).

Olivier Rousse; "Environmental and economic benefits resulting from citizens' participation in CO.sub.2 emissions trading: An efficient alternative solution to the voluntary compensation of CO.sub.2 emissions", Energy Policy 36 (2008), pp. 388-397; Oct. 29, 2007 (online)

Pablo A. Ruiz and Peter W. Sauer, Valuation of Reserve Services, IEEE Proceedings of the 41 .sup.st Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, 2008 (9 pages).

Paul Darbee, Insteon Compared, SmartLabs, Inc., Jan. 2, 2006, 69 pages.

Paul Darbee, Insteon The Details, Smarthome, Inc., Aug. 11, 2005, 68 pages.

Zhu Jinxiang, G. Jordan, and S. Ihara, The Market for Spinning Reserve and Its Impacts on Energy Prices, IEEE Power Engineering Society Winter Meeting, vol. 2, 2000, pp. 1202-1207 (Abstract Only)

# (56) References Cited

# OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Automated power exchange. (2000). Energy Markets, 19. Retrieved from http://search.proquest.com/docview/228731930?accountid=14753.

Cazalet, E. G. & Samuelson, R. D. 2000, "The power market: E-commerce for all electricity products", Public Utilities Fortnightly, vol. 138, No. 3, pp. 42-47.

Kamat R, Oren S. Two-settlement Systems for Electricity Markets under Network Uncertainty and Market Power. Journal of Regulatory Economics [serial online]. Jan. 2004; 25(1): 5-37.

Thomas, K. 2000, "Energy e-commerce takes off", Energy Markets, vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 26.

Byers J. Risk Management and Monetizing the Commodity Storage Option. Natural Gas & Electricity [serial online]. Jul. 2005; 21 (12):1-8. Available from: Business Source Complete, Ipswich, MA.


Galvin Electricity Institute: Frequently Asked Questions, printed Apr. 23, 2014, same page available through archive.org unchanged Mar. 1, 2008.


GE Digital Energy Residential Electrical Metering Brochure. Sep. 12, 2012. https://web.archive.org/web/20120912144353/http://www.gedigitalenergry.com/products/brochures/1210-Family.pdf. Illinois General Assembly: Public Act 094-0977, Effective Date: Jun. 30, 2006.


Kamat R., Oren S. Two-Settlement Systems for Electricity Markets under Network Uncertainty and Market Power Journal of Regulatory Economics [serial online]. Jan. 2004; 25(1):5-37.

Lobsenz G. Maryland Regulators Reject BG&E Smart Grid Proposal. Energy Daily [serial online]. Jun. 23, 2010; (118): 3. Available from: Business Source Complete, Ipswich, MA.


\* cited by examiner








Sep. 8, 2015





1 0 0

	Cancel Setup	Previous Step	Next Step	tep	
ng this program					
At what state would you normally leave the device at when you wake up?   ON OFF	ve the device at w	hen you wake up?	NO	O OFF	
you normally leave the device at when the first person in your household returns home?   ON OFF	on in your househ	old returns home?	NO ●	O OFF	
At what state would you normally leave the device at when no one is at home? $ \bigcirc $ ON	e device at when n	io one is at home?	NO O	● OFF	
At what state would you normally leave the device at when everyone is sleeping? O ON   OFF	evice at when eve	ryone is sleeping?	NO O	● OFF	<b>8</b> 6
					7

T 0




FIG. 8




FIG. 9




FIG. 10






FIG. 11





# SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR GENERATING AND PROVIDING DISPATCHABLE OPERATING RESERVE ENERGY CAPACITY THROUGH USE OF ACTIVE LOAD MANAGEMENT

## CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This non-provisional utility patent application is related to 10 and claims priority from the following US patent applications: it is a continuation-in-part of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/775,979 filed May 7, 2010, and a continuationin-part of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/019,867 filed Feb. 2, 2011; U.S. provisional application Ser. No. 61/215, 15 725, filed May 8, 2009; Ser. No. 12/775,979; U.S. application Ser. No. 12/001,819 filed Dec. 13, 2007 and U.S. application Ser. No. 11/895,909 filed Aug. 28, 2007, now U.S. Pat. No. 7,715,951, all of which are incorporated herein by reference in their entirety.

# BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

#### 1. Field of the Invention

The present invention relates generally to the field of elec- 25 tric power supply and generation systems and, more particularly, to a system and method for generating and/or providing dispatchable operating reserve energy capacity for an electric utility using active load management so that the reserve capacity may be made available to the utility or to the general 30 power market (e.g., via a national grid).

# 2. Description of Related Art

Energy demand within a utility's service area varies constantly. Such variation in demand can cause undesired flucvarying demand, a utility must adjust its supply or capacity (e.g., increase capacity when demand increases and decrease supply when demand decreases). However, because power cannot be economically stored, a utility must regularly either bring new capacity on-line or take existing capacity off-line in 40 an effort to meet demand and maintain frequency. Bringing new capacity online involves using a utility's reserve power, typically called "operating reserve." A table illustrating a utility's typical energy capacity is shown in FIG. 1. As shown, operating reserve typically includes three types of power: 45 so-called "regulating reserve," "spinning reserve," and "nonspinning reserve" or "supplemental reserve." The various types of operating reserve are discussed in more detail below.

Normal fluctuations in demand, which do not typically affect line frequency, are responded to or accommodated 50 through certain activities, such as by increasing or decreasing an existing generator's output or by adding new generating capacity. Such accommodation is generally referred to as "economic dispatch." A type of power referred to as "contingency reserve" is additional generating capacity that is avail- 55 able for use as economic dispatch to meet changing (increasing) demand. Contingency reserve consists of two of the types of operating reserve, namely, spinning reserve and non-spinning reserve. Therefore, operating reserve generally consists of regulating reserve and contingency reserve.

As shown in FIG. 1, spinning reserve is additional generating capacity that is already online (e.g., connected to the power system) and, thus, is immediately available or is available within a short period of time after a determined need (e.g., within ten (10) to fifteen (15) minutes, as defined by the 65 applicable North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) regulation). More particularly, in order for contin2

gency reserve to be classified as "spinning reserve," the reserve power capacity must meet the following criteria:

- a) be connected to the grid;
- b) be measurable and verifiable; and
- c) be capable of fully responding to load typically within 10-15 minutes of being dispatched by a utility, where the time-to-dispatch requirements of the spinning reserve are generally governed by a grid system operator or other regulatory body, such as NERC.

Non-spinning reserve (also called supplemental reserve) is additional generating capacity that is not online, but is required to respond within the same time period as spinning reserve. Typically, when additional power is needed for use as economic dispatch, a power utility will make use of its spinning reserve before using its non-spinning reserve because (a) the generation methods used to produce spinning reserve capacity typically tends to be cheaper than the methods, such as one-way traditional demand response, used to produce 20 non-spinning reserve or (b) the consumer impact to produce non-spinning reserve is generally less desirable than the options used to produce spinning reserve due to other considerations, such as environmental concerns. For example, spinning reserve may be produced by increasing the torque of rotors for turbines that are already connected to the utility's power grid or by using fuel cells connected to the utility's power grid; whereas, non-spinning reserve may be produced from simply turning off resistive and inductive loads such as heating/cooling systems attached to consumer locations. However, making use of either spinning reserve or non-spinning reserve results in additional costs to the utility because of the costs of fuel, incentives paid to consumers for traditional demand response, maintenance, and so forth.

If demand changes so abruptly and quantifiably as to cause tuations in line frequency if not timely met. To meet the 35 a substantial fluctuation in line frequency within the utility's electric grid, the utility must respond to and correct for the change in line frequency. To do so, utilities typically employ an Automatic Generation Control (AGC) process or subsystem to control the utility's regulating reserve. To determine whether a substantial change in demand has occurred, each utility monitors its Area Control Error (ACE). A utility's ACE is equal to the difference in the scheduled and actual power flows in the utility grid's tie lines plus the difference in the actual and scheduled frequency of the supplied power multiplied by a constant determined from the utility's frequency bias setting. Thus, ACE can be written generally as follows:

> ACE=(NI.sub.A-NI.sub.S)+(-10B.sub.1)(F.sub.A-F.sub.S).

[Equation 1]

NI.sub.A is the sum of actual power flows on all tie lines, Ni.sub.S is the sum of scheduled flows on all tie lines, B.sub.1 is the frequency bias setting for the utility,

F.sub.A is the actual line frequency, and

F.sub.S is the scheduled line frequency (typically 60 Hz). In view of the foregoing ACE equation, the amount of loading relative to capacity on the tie lines causes the quantity (NI.sub.A–NI.sub.S) to be either positive or negative. When 60 demand is greater than supply or capacity (i.e., the utility is under-generating or under-supplying), the quantity (NI.sub.A–NI.sub.S) is negative, which typically causes ACE to be negative. On the other hand, when demand is less than supply, the quantity (NI.sub.A–NI.sub.S) is positive (i.e., the utility is over-generating or over-supplying), which typically causes ACE to be positive. The amount of demand (e.g., load) or capacity directly affects the quantity (NI.sub.A-NI.sub.S);

thus, ACE is a measure of generation capacity relative to load. Typically, a utility attempts to maintain its ACE very close zero using AGC processes.

If ACE is not maintained close to zero, line frequency can change and cause problems for power consuming devices 5 attached to the electric utility's grid. Ideally, the total amount of power supplied to the utility tie lines must equal the total amount of power consumed through loads (power consuming devices) and transmission line losses at any instant of time. However, in actual power system operations, the total mechanical power supplied by the utility's generators is seldom exactly equal to the total electric power consumed by the loads plus the transmission line losses. When the power supplied and power consumed are not equal, the system either accelerates (e.g., if there is too much power in to the genera- 15 tors) causing the generators to spin faster and hence to increase the line frequency or decelerates (e.g., if there is not enough power into the generators) causing the line frequency to decrease. Thus, variation in line frequency can occur due to excess supply, as well as due to excess demand.

To respond to fluctuations in line frequency using AGC, a utility typically utilizes "regulating reserve," which is one type of operating reserve as illustrated in FIG. 1. Regulating reserve is used as needed to maintain constant line frequency. Therefore, regulating reserve must be available almost immediately when needed (e.g., in as little as a few seconds to less than about five (5) minutes). Governors are typically incorporated into a utility's generation system to respond to minute-by-minute changes in load by increasing or decreasing the output of individual generators and, thereby, engaging 30 or disengaging, as applicable, the utility's regulating reserve.

The Federal Energy Reliability Commission (FERC) and NERC have proposed the concept of Demand Side Management (DSM) as an additional approach to account for changes in demand. DSM is a method in which a power utility carries out actions to reduce demand during peak periods. Examples of DSM include encouraging energy conservation, modifying prices during peak periods, direct load control, and others.

Current approaches for using DSM to respond to increases in demand have included using one way load switches that 40 interrupt loads, as well as statistics to approximate the average amount of projected load removed by DSM. A statistical approach is employed because of the utility's inability to measure the actual load removed from the grid as a result of a DSM load control event. In addition, current DSM 45 approaches have been limited to use of a single power measuring meter among every one hundred (100) or more service points (e.g., residences and/or businesses). Accordingly, current DSM approaches are inadequate because they rely on statistical trends and sampling, rather than on empirical data, 50 to make projections and measure actual load removal events.

More recently, FERC and NERC have introduced the concept of flexible load-shape programs as a component of DSM. These programs allow customers to make their preferences known to the utility concerning timing and reliability of DSM 55 load control events. However, DSM approaches utilizing load-shaping programs do not meet all of the criteria for implementing regulating reserve or spinning reserve, such as being dispatchable within 15 minutes or less. Additionally, in order for a generating source to be considered dispatchable 60 energy, it must be forecasted twenty-four (24) hours prior to being delivered to a utility. Current DSM approaches do not facilitate accurate forecasting twenty-four (24) hours in advance due to their heavy reliance on statistics.

Therefore, there is a need for utilities to be able to create 65 operating reserve, especially regulating and/or spinning reserve, by using accurate forecasting and flexible load shap-

4

ing techniques. There is a further need to involve the consumer in a two-way approach in which the consumer can make their energy consumption preferences known and the utility can make use of those preferences to respond to increased demand and maintain line frequency regulation.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides methods and systems for generating operating reserves.

Accordingly, the present invention is directed to a method for generating operating reserves of a utility and/or any market participant of an electric power grid servicing one or more service points, the method including the steps of: determining amounts of electric power consumed by at least a first set of devices during at least one period of time to produce power consumption data, and a first power supply value (PSV) associated with each of the first set of devices, the first set of devices being located at the one or more service points; stor-20 ing the power consumption data and the first PSV in a repository; determining that a control event is to occur during which a supply of electric power is to be reduced to at least the first set of devices; generating, prior to commencement of the control event and under an assumption that the control event is not to occur, power consumption behavior expected of the first set of devices during a first period of time based at least on the stored power consumption data, wherein the control event is expected to occur during the first period of time; determining, prior to commencement of the control event, projected energy savings resulting from the control event based at least on the actual power consumption behavior of the first set of devices; determining, prior to commencement of the control event, amounts of electric power stored by a second set of devices located at the one or more service points to produce stored power data, and a second power supply value (PSV) associated with each of the second set of devices; and determining, prior to commencement of the control event, an amount of available operating reserve based on the projected energy savings, the PSVs, and the stored power

The present invention is further directed to a grid operator and/or system that provides electrical service to one or more remotely located service points, each service point including at least one device that consumes power during operation thereof, the grid operator including: a repository; and at least one processor coupled to the repository, the at least one processor operable to: determine amounts of electric power consumed by the at least a first set of devices during at least one period of time to produce power consumption data and corresponding power supply values (PSVs) for the devices; store the power consumption data in the repository; determine that a control event is to occur during which a supply of electric power is to be reduced to at least the first set of devices; determine, prior to commencement of the control event and under an assumption that the control event is not to occur, power consumption behavior expected of at least the first set of devices for a first period of time based at least on the stored power consumption data, wherein the control event is expected to occur during the first period of time; determine, prior to commencement of the control event, projected energy savings resulting from the control event based at least on the actual power consumption behavior and PSVs of at least the first set of devices; determine, prior to commencement of the control event, amounts of electric power stored by at least a second set of devices located at the one or more service points to produce stored power data and PSVs corresponding to the at least second set of devices; and determine, prior to com-

mencement of the control event, an amount of available operating reserve based on the projected energy savings, the PSVs, and the stored power data.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a table showing the base load power requirements and operating reserve available to an electric power utility.

FIG. **2** is a block diagram illustrating how an active load management system in accordance with the present invention <sup>10</sup> provides additional operating (e.g., regulating, spinning and/or non-spinning) reserve to a power utility.

FIG. 3 is a block diagram of an exemplary IP-based, active load management system in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 4 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary active load director as shown in the power load management system of FIG. 3.

FIG. **5** is a block diagram illustrating generation of an exemplary sampling repository at the active load director of <sup>20</sup> FIG. **4** or some other location in an electric utility.

FIG. **6** is a screen shot of an exemplary web browser interface through which a customer may designate his or her device performance and energy saving preferences for an environmentally-dependent, power consuming device in <sup>25</sup> accordance with one embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 7 is a screen shot of an exemplary web browser interface through which a customer may designate his or her device performance and energy saving preferences for an environmentally-independent, power consuming device in <sup>30</sup> accordance with another embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. **8** is an operational flow diagram illustrating a method for empirically analyzing power usage of power consuming devices and populating a repository with data samples resulting from such power usage analysis, in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 9 is an operational flow diagram illustrating a method for projecting energy usage for a power consuming device in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present 40 invention

FIG. 10 is an operational flow diagram illustrating a method for generating power consumption behavior of a power consuming device in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 11 is an operational flow diagram illustrating a method for projecting energy savings through power interruption to a power consuming device during a control event, in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 12 is a graph that depicts a load profile of a utility during a projected time period, showing actual energy usage as well as projected energy usage determined with and without a control event, in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 13 is a block diagram of a system for implementing a virtual electric utility in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention.

# DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Before describing in detail exemplary embodiments that are in accordance with the present invention, it should be observed that the embodiments reside primarily in combinations of apparatus components and processing steps related to 65 actively monitoring and managing power loading at an individual service point (e.g., on an individual subscriber basis)

6

and throughout a utility's service area, as well as determining available or dispatchable operating reserve power derived from projected power savings resulting from monitoring and management of power loading. Accordingly, the apparatus and method components have been represented where appropriate by conventional symbols in the drawings, showing only those specific details that are pertinent to understanding the embodiments of the present invention so as not to obscure the disclosure with details that will be readily apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art having the benefit of the description berein

In this document, relational terms, such as "first" and "second," "top" and "bottom," and the like, may be used solely to distinguish one entity or element from another entity or element without necessarily requiring or implying any physical or logical relationship or order between such entities or elements. The terms "comprises," "comprising," and any other variation thereof are intended to cover a non-exclusive inclusion, such that a process, method, article, or apparatus that comprises a list of elements does not include only those elements, but may include other elements not expressly listed or inherent to such process, method, article, or apparatus. The term "plurality of" as used in connection with any object or action means two or more of such object or action. A claim element proceeded by the article "a" or "an" does not, without more constraints, preclude the existence of additional identical elements in the process, method, article, or apparatus that includes the element.

Additionally, the term "ZigBee" refers to any wireless communication protocol adopted by the Institute of Electronics & Electrical Engineers (IEEE) according to standard 802.15.4 or any successor standard(s), the term "Wi-Fi" refers to any communication protocol adopted by the IEEE under standard 802.11 or any successor standard(s), the term "WiMax" refers to any communication protocol adopted by the IEEE under standard 802.16 or any successor standard(s), and the term "Bluetooth" refers to any short-range communication protocol implementing IEEE standard 802.15.1 or any successor standard(s). Additionally or alternatively to WiMax, other communications protocols may be used, including but not limited to a "1 G" wireless protocol such as analog wireless transmission, first generation standards based (IEEE, ITU or other recognized world communications standard), a "2-G" standards based protocoal such as "EDGE or CDMA 2000 also known as 1XRTT", a 3G based standard such as "High Speed Packet Access (HSPA) or Evolution for Data Only (EVDO), any accepted 4G standard such as "IEEE, ITU standards that include WiMax, Long Term Evolution "LTE" and its derivative standards, any Ethernet solution wireless or wired, or any proprietary wireless or power line carrier standards that communicate to a client device or any controllable device that sends and receives an IP based message.

The term "High Speed Packet Data Access (HSPA)" refers to any communication protocol adopted by the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) or another mobile telecommunications standards body referring to the evolution of the Global System for Mobile Communications (GSM) standard beyond its third generation Universal Mobile Telecommunications System (UMTS) protocols. The term "Long Term Evolution (LTE)" refers to any communication protocol adopted by the ITU or another mobile telecommunications standards body referring to the evolution of GSM-based networks to voice, video and data standards anticipated to be replacement protocols for HSPA. The term "Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA) Evolution Date-Optimized

(EVDO) Revision A (CDMA EVDO Rev. A)" refers to the communication protocol adopted by the ITU under standard number TIA-856 Rev. A.

The terms "utility," "electric utility," "power utility," and "electric power utility" refer to any entity or system that 5 generates, distributes, and/or resells electrical power, including any market participant associated with an electric power grid, microgrid, etc., that purchases power from a powergenerating entity and distributes the purchased power to end user customers, or that supplies electricity created either actually or virtually by alternative energy sources, such as solar power, wind power, load control, or otherwise, to power generation or distribution entities through the FERC electrical grid or otherwise, or that schedules the supply of energy or ancillary services, such as operating reserves. Therefore, as 15 used herein and in the appended claims, an "electric utility" may be a power-generating entity, a power-distributing entity, a retail electric provider (REP), an independent system operator (ISO), an electrical grid operator, or any other market participant, such as any entity that performs the function of a 20 load serving entity (LSE), a qualified scheduling entity (QSE), a resource entity (RE), or a transmission/distribution service provider (TDSP), as such entities and participants are understood by those of ordinary skill in the art in the electricity industry.

The terms "energy" and "power" are used interchangeably herein. The terms "operating reserve," "spinning reserve," "regulating reserve," "non-spinning reserve," "supplemental reserve," and "contingency reserve" are conventional in the art and their uses and inter-relations are described in hereinabove. The term "environment" refers to general conditions, such as air temperature, humidity, barometric pressure, wind speed, rainfall quantity, water temperature, etc., at or proximate a service point or associated with a device (e.g., water temperature of water in a hot water heater or a swimming 35 pool).

The term "device," as used herein, means a power-consuming device, and there may generally be two different types of devices within a service point, namely, an environmentallydependent device and an environmentally-independent 40 device. An environmentally-dependent device is any power consuming device that turns on or off, or modifies its behavior, based on one or more sensors that detect characteristics, such as temperature, humidity, pressure, or various other characteristics, of an environment. An environmentally-de- 45 pendent device may directly affect and/or be affected by the environment in which it operates. An environmentally-independent device is any power-consuming device that turns on or off, or modifies its behavior, without reliance upon inputs from any environmental sensors. Generally speaking, an 50 environmentally-independent device does not directly affect, and is not typically affected by, the environment in which it operates, although, as one skilled in the art will readily recognize and appreciate, operation of an environmentally-independent device can indirectly affect, or occasionally be 55 affected by, the environment. For example, as those skilled in the art readily understand, a refrigerator or other appliance generates heat during operation, thereby causing some heating of the ambient air proximate the device.

It will be appreciated that embodiments or components of 60 the systems described herein may be comprised of one or more conventional processors and unique stored program instructions that control the one or more processors to implement, in conjunction with certain non-processor circuits, some, most, or all of the functions for determining an electric 65 utility's available or dispatchable operating (e.g., regulating and spinning) reserve that is derived from projected power

8

savings resulting from monitoring and management of loads in one or more active load management systems as described herein. The non-processor circuits may include, but are not limited to, radio receivers, radio transmitters, antennas, modems, signal drivers, clock circuits, power source circuits, relays, meters, memory, smart breakers, current sensors, and user input devices. As such, these functions may be interpreted as steps of a method to store and distribute information and control signals between devices in a power load management system. Alternatively, some or all functions could be implemented by a state machine that has no stored program instructions, or in one or more application specific integrated circuits (ASICs), in which each function or some combinations of functions are implemented as custom logic. Of course, a combination of the foregoing approaches could be used. Thus, methods and means for these functions have been described herein. Further, it is expected that one of ordinary skill in the art, notwithstanding possibly significant effort and many design choices motivated by, for example, available time, current technology, and economic considerations, when guided by the concepts and principles disclosed herein, will be readily capable of generating such software instructions, programs and integrated circuits (ICs), and appropriately arranging and functionally integrating such non-processor circuits, without undue experimentation.

Generally, the present invention encompasses a system and method for generating operating reserve (e.g., spinning and/ or regulating reserve) for a utility servicing one or more service points. In one embodiment, the utility or any market participant associated with the electric power grid, employs an active load management system (ALMS) to remotely determine, during at least one period of time, power consumed by at least one device located at the one or more service points and receiving power from the utility to produce power consumption data. The power consumption data is regularly stored and updated in a repository. The ALMS or a control component thereof, such as an active load director (ALD), determines an expected, future time period for a control event during which power is to be interrupted or reduced to one or more devices. Prior to commencement of the control event, the ALMS or its control component: (i) generates power consumption behavior expected of the device(s) during the time period of the control event based at least on the stored power consumption data, (ii) determines projected energy savings resulting from the control event based at least on the power consumption behavior of device(s), and determines operating (e.g., regulating and/or spinning) reserve based on the projected energy savings. The determined operating reserve may be made available to the current power utility or to the power market through the existing (e.g., Federal Energy Regulatory Commission) power grid. In one embodiment, the ALD populates an internal repository (e.g., database, matrix, or other storage medium) with measurement data indicating how individual devices within individual service points consume power or otherwise behave under normal operation and during control events. The power consumption data is updated through regular (e.g., periodic or otherwise) sampling of device operating conditions (e.g., current draw, duty cycle, operating voltage, etc.). When an ALD is first installed in an ALMS for an electric utility power grid, there is little data with which to create regulating and spinning reserve forecasts. However, over time, more and more data samples are used to improve the quality of the data in the repository. This repository is used to project both energy usage and energy savings. These projections can be aggregated for an entire service point, a group of service points, or the entire utility.

Furthermore, based upon the reduction in consumed power, the systems and methods of the present invention provide for generating at the control center a power supply value (PSV) corresponding to the reduction in consumed power by the power consuming device(s). Importantly, the 5 PSV is an actual value that includes measurement and verification of the reduction in consumed power; such measurement and verification methods may be determined by the appropriate governing body or authority for the electric power grid(s). Power Supply Value (PSV) is calculated at the 10 meter or submeter or at building control system or at any device or controller that measures power within the standard as supplied by the regulatory body(ies) that govern the regulation of the grid. PSV variations may depend on operating tolerances, operating standard for accuracy of the measure- 15 ment. The PSV enables transformation of curtailment or reduction in power at the device level by any system that sends or receives an IP message or power control-related message to be related to or equated to supply as presented to the governing entity that accepts these values and award 20 supply equivalence, for example of a power generating entity or an entity allowed to control power consuming devices as

PSV may be provided in units of electrical power flow, monetary equivalent, and combinations thereof. Also, PSV relates to the ability to reduce power by curtailment or by putting power back onto the grid, and the quality and value associated therewith. Thus, the PSV provides an actual value that is confirmed by measurement and/or verification, thereby providing for a curtailment value as a requirement for providing supply to the power grid, wherein the supply to the power electric power grid is provided for grid stability, voltage stability, reliability, and combinations thereof, and is further provided as responsive to an energy management system or equivalent for providing grid stability, reliability, frequency as determined by governing authority for the electric power grid and/or grid operator(s).

permitted by the governing body of the electric power grid,

e.g., FERC, NERC, etc.

In an alternative embodiment, additional data may be used to help differentiate each data sample stored in the repository. 40 The additional data is associated with variability factors, such as, for example, outside air temperature, day of the week, time of day, humidity, sunlight, wind speed, altitude, orientation of windows or doors, barometric pressure, energy efficiency rating of the service point, insulation used at the service point, 45 and others. All of these variability factors can have an influence on the power consumption of a device. Some of the variability factor data may be obtained from public sources, such as local, state or national weather services, calendars, and published specifications. Other variability factor data 50 may be obtained privately from user input and from sensors, such as humidity, altitude, temperature (e.g., a thermostat), and optical or light sensors, installed at or near a service point (e.g., within or at a residence or business).

FIG. 2 is a block diagram illustrating how an ALMS operating in accordance with the present invention provides additional operating (e.g., regulating, spinning, and/or non-spinning) reserve to a power utility. Without use of an ALMS operating in accordance with the present invention, the utility has capacity equal to its base load plus its regulating reserve, spinning reserve, and non-spinning reserve as shown on the left side of the figure. However, with use of an ALMS operating in accordance with the present invention, the utility has additional operating reserve, which may be preferably used as regulating, spinning and/or non-spinning reserve (as illustrated in FIG. 2), by drawing power selectively from service points through the interruption or reduction of power to

10

devices, such as air conditioners, furnaces, hot water heaters, pool pumps, washers, dryers, boilers, and/or any other inductive or resistive loads, at the service points.

The present invention can be more readily understood with reference to FIGS. 3-12, in which like reference numerals designate like items. FIG. 3 depicts an exemplary IP-based active load management system (ALMS) 10 that may be utilized by an electric utility, which may be a conventional power-generating utility or a virtual utility, in accordance with the present invention. The below description of the ALMS 10 is limited to specific disclosure relating to embodiments of the present invention. A more general and detailed description of the ALMS 10 is provided in commonly-owned, co-pending U.S. application Ser. No. 11/895,909, which was filed on Aug. 28, 2007, was published as U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2009/0062970 A1 on Mar. 5, 2009, and is incorporated herein by this reference as if fully set forth herein. U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2009/ 0062970 A1 provides details with respect to the exemplary operational implementation and execution of control events to interrupt or reduce power to devices located at service points, such as residences and businesses. The use of an ALMS 10 to implement a virtual utility is described in detail in commonly-owned and co-pending U.S. application Ser. No. 12/001,819, which was filed on Dec. 13, 2007, was published as U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2009/ 0063228 A1 on Mar. 5, 2009, and is incorporated herein by this reference as if fully set forth herein.

The ALMS 10 monitors and manages power distribution via an active load director (ALD) 100 connected between one or more utility control centers (UCCs) 200 (one shown) and one or more active load clients (ALCs) 300 (one shown) installed at one or more service points 20 (one exemplary residential service point shown). The ALD 100 may communicate with the utility control center 200 and each active load client 300 either directly or through a network 80 using the Internet Protocol (IP) or any other (IP or Ethernet) connection-based protocols. For example, the ALD 100 may communicate using RF systems operating via one or more base stations 90 (one shown) using one or more wireless communication protocols, such as GSM, ANSI C12.22, Enhanced Data GSM Environment (EDGE), HSPA, LTE, Time Division Multiple Access (TDMA), or CDMA data standards, including CDMA 2000, CDMA Revision A, CDMA Revision B, and CDMA EVDO Rev. A. Alternatively, or additionally, the ALD 100 may communicate via a digital subscriber line (DSL) capable connection, cable television based IP capable connection, or any combination thereof. In the exemplary embodiment shown in FIG. 3, the ALD 100 communicates with one or more active load clients 300 using a combination of traditional IP-based communication (e.g., over a trunked line) to a base station 90 and a wireless channel implementing the HSPA or EVDO protocol from the base station 90 to the active load client 300. The distance between the base station 90 and the service point 20 or the active load client 300 is typically referred to as the "last mile" even though the distance may not actually be a mile. The ALD 100 may be implemented in various ways, including, but not limited to, as an individual server, as a blade within a server, in a distributed computing environment, or in other combinations of hardware and software. In the following disclosure, the ALD 100 will be described as embodied in an individual server to facilitate an understanding of the present invention. Thus, the server embodiment of the ALD 100 described below corresponds generally to the description of the ALD 100 in US Patent Application Publication Nos. US 2009/ 0062970 A1 and US 2009/0063228 A1.

Each active load client 300 is preferably accessible through a specified address (e.g., IP address) and controls and monitors the state of individual smart breaker modules or intelligent appliances 60 installed at the service point 20 (e.g., in the business or residence) to which the active load client 300 is associated (e.g., connected or supporting). Each active load client 300 is preferably associated with a single residential or commercial customer. In one embodiment, the active load client 300 communicates with a residential load center 400 that contains smart breaker modules, which are able to switch 10 from an "ON" (active) state to an "OFF" (inactive) state, and vice versa, responsive to signaling from the active load client 300. Smart breaker modules may include, for example, smart breaker panels manufactured by Schneider Electric SA under the trademark "Square D" or Eaton Corporation under the 15 trademark "Cutler-Hammer" for installation during new construction. For retro-fitting existing buildings, smart breakers having means for individual identification and control may be used. Typically, each smart breaker controls a single appliance (e.g., a washer/dryer 30, a hot water heater 40, an HVAC 20 unit 50, or a pool pump 70). In an alternative embodiment, IP addressable relays or device controllers that operate in a manner similar to a "smart breaker" may be used in place of smart breakers, but would be installed coincident with the load under control and would measure the startup power, 25 steady state power, power quality, duty cycle and energy load profile of the individual appliance 60, HVAC unit 40, pool pump 70, hot water heater 40, or any other controllable load as determined by the utility or end customer.

Additionally, the active load client 300 may control individual smart appliances directly (e.g., without communicating with the residential load center 400) via one or more of a variety of known communication protocols (e.g., IP, Broadband over PowerLine (BPL) in its various forms, including through specifications promulgated or being developed by the 35 HOMEPLUG Powerline Alliance and the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE), Ethernet, Bluetooth, ZigBee, Wi-Fi (IEEE 802.11 protocols), HSPA, EVDO, etc.). Typically, a smart appliance 60 includes a power control module (not shown) having communication abilities. The 40 power control module is installed in-line with the power supply to the appliance, between the actual appliance and the power source (e.g., the power control module is plugged into a power outlet at the home or business and the power cord for the appliance is plugged into the power control module). 45 Thus, when the power control module receives a command to turn off the appliance 60, it disconnects the actual power supplying the appliance 60. Alternatively, the smart appliance 60 may include a power control module integrated directly into the appliance, which may receive commands and control 50 the operation of the appliance directly (e.g., a smart thermostat may perform such functions as raising or lowering the set temperature, switching an HVAC unit on or off, or switching a fan on or off).

The active load client 300 may further be coupled to one or 55 more variability factor sensors 94. Such sensors 94 may be used to monitor a variety of variability factors affecting operation of the devices, such as inside and/or outside temperature, inside and/or outside humidity, time of day, pollen count, amount of rainfall, wind speed, and other factors or 60 parameters.

Referring now to FIG. 4, the ALD 100 may serve as the primary interface to customers, as well as to service personnel, and operates as the system controller sending control messages or power control messages to, and collecting data 65 from, installed active load clients 300 as described in detail below and in U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US

12

2009/0062970 A1, which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety. In the exemplary embodiment depicted in FIG. 4, the ALD 100 is implemented as an individual server and includes a utility control center (UCC) security interface 102, a UCC command processor 104, a master event manager 106, an ALC manager 108, an ALC security interface 110, an ALC interface 112, a web browser interface 114, a customer signup application 116, customer personal settings 138, a customer reports application 118, a power savings application 120, an ALC diagnostic manager 122, an ALD database 124, a service dispatch manager 126, a trouble ticket generator 128, a call center manager 130, a carbon savings application 132, a utility power and carbon (P&C) database 134, a read meter application 136, a security device manager 140, a device controller 144, and one or more processors 160 (one shown). The operational details of several of the elements of the ALD 100 are described below with respect to their use in connection with the present invention. The operational details of the remaining elements of the ALD 100 may be found in U.S. Patent Application Publication Nos. US 2009/0062970 A1 and US 2009/0063228 A1, and US 2011/0172837, each of which are incorporated herein by reference in their entirety, including specification and figures or drawings, wherein the ALD 100 is also described in the context of an individual server embodiment.

In another embodiment, features, functions and/or components referred to in US Patent Application Publication No. 2010/0161148, Ser. No. 12/715,195 filed Mar. 1, 2010, by inventor Forbes, Jr., which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety, are combined advantageously with the embodiments described herein.

In one embodiment according to the present invention, a sampling repository is used to facilitate the determination of dispatchable operating reserve power or energy (e.g., spinning and/or regulating reserve) for a utility. An exemplary sampling repository 500 is shown in block diagram form in FIG. 5. As illustrated in FIG. 5, the sampling repository 500 is a means for storing device monitoring data and other data that collectively details how devices (e.g., a hot water heater 40 as shown in FIG. 5) have behaved under specific conditions. The repository 500 may be in various forms, including a matrix, a database, etc. In one embodiment, the sampling repository 500 is implemented in the ALD database 124 of the ALD 100. Alternatively, the sampling repository 500 may reside elsewhere within the ALD 100 or be external to the ALD 100. The sampling repository 500 contains all power consumption data for devices located at a device or service point 20 or within a utility and/or any market participant associated with the electric power grid. Power consumption data may include, but is not limited to: current reading, energy/power used or consumed, energy/power saved, drift or drift rate, power time, user settings for maximum environmental variances, time periods (e.g., hours of the day, days of the week, and calendar days). Taken collectively, this data is used to show how devices behaved during normal operation as well as during control events in which power is temporarily interrupted or reduced to one or more devices. The data may be obtained via passive sampling (e.g., regular monitoring of devices at a particular service point 20 by the active load client 300 associated with the service point 20) and/or active sampling (e.g., direct polling of the devices for the data by the active load client 300 or the ALD 100). As discussed below, the sampling repository 500 is used by the ALD 100 or other components of the ALMS 10 to generate or project power consumption behavior of the devices and to determine projected power/ energy savings resulting from a control event. The projected

power savings may be determined using the power savings application 120 based upon the power consumption data in the repository 500.

FIG. 6 is an exemplary screen shot displayed to a user (e.g., customer) during execution of a customer personal settings application 138. The illustrated screen shot shows a screen being used to set the customer preferences for an environmentally-dependent device, such as an HVAC unit 50, a humidifier, or a pool heater. The illustrated screen shot may be provided to the customer in one embodiment via an Internet- 10 accessible web portal 98 (referred to herein as the "customer dashboard") when such portal is accessed by the customer via a computer, smart phone, or other comparable device. As shown in FIG. 3, the customer dashboard 98 may be connected to the ALD 100 via an Internet service provider for the 15 service point 20 or may be implemented as a customer Internet application 92 when Internet service is supplied through the active load client 300 as described in U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2009/0063228 A1. The customer dashboard 98 effectively provides the customer with access 20 into the ALD 100. The ALD's web browser interface 114 accepts inputs from the customer dashboard 98 and outputs information to the customer dashboard 98 for display to the customer. The customer dashboard 98 may be accessed from the service point 20 or remotely from any Internet-accessible 25 device, preferably through use of a user name and password. Thus, the customer dashboard 98 is preferably a secure, webbased interface used by customers to specify preferences associated with devices controlled by the ALD 100 and located at the customer's service point 20, as well as to 30 provide information requested by the customer personal settings application 138 or the customer sign-up application 116 in connection with controlled devices and/or service point conditions or parameters. Customer preferences may include, for example, control event preferences (e.g., times, durations, 35 etc.), bill management preferences (e.g., goal or target for maximum monthly billing cost), maximum and minimum boundary settings for environmental characteristics, and oth-

FIG. 7 is another exemplary screen shot displayed to a 40 customer via the customer dashboard 98 during execution of a different portion of the customer personal settings application 138. FIG. 7 shows how customer preferences could be set for an environmentally-independent device, such as a hot water heater 40, a pool pump 70, or a sprinkler system water 45 pump (which may also be an environmentally-dependent device if it includes, for example, a rainfall sensor). Using the web browser interface 114, customers interact with the ALD 100 and specify customer personal settings 138 that are recorded by the ALD 100 and stored in the ALD database 124 50 or other repository 500. The personal settings 138 may specify time periods during which load control events are permitted, time periods during which load control events are prohibited, maximum allowable variances for an operating environment at a particular service point 20 (e.g., maximum 55 and minimum temperature and/or humidity), normal operating conditions of devices at different times of day, and other personal preferences related to operation of devices under the control of the ALD 100 through the active load client 300 at the service point 20.

As alluded to above, the present invention optionally tracks and takes into account the "drift" of an environmentally-dependent device. Drift occurs when the environmental characteristic(s) (e.g., temperature) monitored by an environmentally-dependent device begins to deviate (e.g., heat up or cool 65 down) from a set point that is to be maintained by the environmentally-dependent device. Such deviation or drift may

14

occur both normally and during control events. Thus, drift is the time it takes for the monitored environmental characteristic to move from a set point to an upper or lower comfort boundary when power, or at least substantial power, is not being consumed by the device. In other words, drift is a rate of change of the monitored environmental characteristic from a set point without use of significant power (e.g., without powering an HVAC unit compressor, but while continuing to power an associated digital thermostat and HVAC unit control system). One of ordinary skill in the art will readily appreciate that devices, such as HVAC units 50, which control one or more environmental characteristics at a service point 20, are also influenced or affected by the environment at the service point 20 because their activation or deactivation is based on one or more sensed environmental characteristics at the service point 20. For example, an HVAC unit 50 in cooling mode that attempts to maintain an inside temperature of 77.degree. F. activates when the inside temperature is some temperature greater than 77.degree. F. and, therefore, is influenced or affected by the environment in which the HVAC unit 50

The inverse of drift is "power time," which is the time it takes for the sensed environmental characteristic to move from a comfort boundary to a set point when significant or substantial power is being supplied to the environmentally-dependent device. In other words, "power time" is a rate of change of the monitored environmental characteristic from a comfort boundary to a set point with significant use of power. Alternatively, "drift" may be considered the time required for the monitored environmental characteristic to move to an unacceptable level after power is generally turned off to an environmentally-dependent device. By contrast, "power time" is the time required for the monitored environmental characteristic to move from an unacceptable level to a target level after power has been generally supplied or re-supplied to the environmentally-dependent device.

The power consumption data for an environmentally-dependent device, which may be gathered actively or passively as described above, may be used to empirically determine the drift and power time (rate of change, temperature slope, or other dynamic equation  $(f\{x\}))$  that defines an environmental characteristic's variation at a service point 20, or at least within the operating area of the environmentally-dependent device, so as to permit the determination of a uniquely derived "fingerprint" or power usage/consumption pattern or behavior for the service point 20 or the environmentally-dependent device.

Customers define the upper and lower boundaries of comfort by inputting customer preferences 138 through the web browser interface 114, with the set point optionally being in the middle of those boundaries. During normal operation, an environmentally-dependent device will attempt to keep the applicable environmental characteristic or characteristics near the device's set point or set points. However, all devices, whether environmentally-dependent or environmentally-independent, have a duty cycle that specifies when the device is in operation because many devices are not continuously in operation. For an environmentally-dependent device, the duty cycle ends when the environmental characteristic(s) 60 being controlled reaches the set point (or within a given tolerance or variance of the set point). After the set point has been reached, the environmentally-dependent device is generally turned off and the environmental characteristic is allowed to "drift" (e.g., upward or downward) toward a comfort boundary. Once the environmental characteristic (e.g., temperature) reaches the boundary, the environmentally-dependent device is generally activated or powered on again

until the environmental characteristic reaches the set point, which ends the duty cycle and the power time.

Drift may also occur during a control event. A control event is an action that temporarily reduces, terminates, or otherwise interrupts the supply of power to a device. During a control 5 event, the environmental characteristic (e.g., temperature) monitored and/or controlled by an environmentally-dependent device will drift toward a comfort boundary (e.g., upper or lower) until the environmental characteristic reaches that boundary. Once the environmental characteristic reaches the 10 boundary, the ALMS 10 generally returns or increases power to the device to enable the environmental characteristic to reach the set point again.

For example, an HVAC unit 50 may have a set point of 72.degree. F. and minimum and maximum comfort boundary 15 temperatures of 68.degree. F. and 76.degree. F., respectively. On a cold day, a control event may interrupt power to the HVAC unit 50 causing the monitored temperature within the service point 20 to move toward the minimum comfort boundary temperature. Once the monitored temperature 20 inside the service point 20 reaches the minimum comfort boundary temperature, the control event would end, and power would be restored or increased to the HVAC unit 50, thus causing the monitored temperature to rise toward the set point. A similar, but opposite effect, may take place on a warm 25 day. In this example, "drift" is the rate of change with respect to the time it takes the HVAC unit 50 to move from the set point to either the upper or lower comfort bounds. Analogously, "power time" is the rate of change with respect to the time required for the HVAC unit 50 to move the monitored 30 temperature from the upper or lower comfort bounds to the set point. In one embodiment of the present invention, drift and power time are calculated and recorded for each environmentally-dependent or environmentally-independent device or for each service point 20.

In another embodiment, drift and other measurement data available from the ALD database 124 are used to create a power consumption behavior or pattern for each environmentally-dependent or environmentally-independent device or for each service point 20. The other measurement data may 40 include vacancy times, sleep times, times in which control events are permitted, and/or other variability factors.

The environment within an energy-efficient structure will have a tendency to exhibit a lower rate of drift. Therefore, environmentally-dependent devices operating within such 45 structures may be subject to control events for longer periods of time because the amount of time taken for the monitored environmental characteristic to reach a comfort boundary due to drift after being set to a set point is longer than for less efficient structures.

In another embodiment, the ALD 100 may identify service points 20 that have an optimum drift for power savings. The power savings application 120 calculates drift for each service point 20 and/or for each environmentally-dependent device at the service point 20, and saves the drift information 55 in the ALD database 124 as part of power consumption data for the device and/or the service point 20. Thus, power saved as a result of drift during a control event increases overall power saved by the environmentally-dependent device at the service point 20.

FIG. 8 illustrates an exemplary operational flow diagram 800 providing steps executed by the ALD 100 to empirically analyze power usage of devices and populate a repository 500 with data samples resulting from such power usage analysis, in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention. 65 The steps in FIG. 8 may be considered to implement a passive sampling algorithm. The steps of FIG. 8 are preferably imple-

16

mented as a set of computer instructions (software) stored in memory (not shown) of the ALD 100 and executed by one or more processors 160 of the ALD 100.

According to the logic flow, the active load client 300 polls devices within the service point 20, such as a washer/dryer 30, hot water heater 40, HVAC unit 50, smart appliance 60, pool pump 70, or other devices within the service point 20, and obtains current readings. Upon receiving the current reading data from the active load client 300, the ALC interface 112 sends the data to the ALC manager 108. The ALC manager 108 stores the data to the sampling repository 500, which may be implemented in the ALD database 124 using the operational flow illustrated in FIG. 8.

The following information may be provided as parameters to the operational flow of FIG. 8: an identification (ID) of the device, temperature mode (either "heating" or "cooling"), duty cycle, current temperature read by the device, and previous temperature read by the device. Each temperature reading includes a device ID, a set point (which is only useful for environmentally-dependent devices), and variability factor measurement data (as described previously).

Initially, the ALD 100 determines (802) whether the device used any, or at least any appreciable amount of, energy. If not, then the logic flow ends. Otherwise, the ALD 100 determines (804) the time duration of the data sample, the time duration when the device was on, and the time duration when the device was off based on the data sample. Next, the ALD 100 determines (806) whether the received data comes from an environmentally-dependent device or an environmentally-independent (e.g., binary state) device. If the received data comes from an environmentally-dependent device, then the ALD 100 determines (808) the energy used per minute for the device, and determines (810) whether the device is drifting or powering. The ALD 100 determines that the device is drifting if the environmental characteristic monitored by the device is changing in a manner opposite the mode of the device (e.g., the room temperature is rising when the device is set in cooling mode or the room temperature is decreasing when the device is set in heating mode). Otherwise, the device is not

If the device is drifting, then the ALD 100 determines (814) the drift rate (e.g., degrees per minute). On the other hand, if the device is not drifting, then the ALD 100 determines (812) the power time rate. Once either the drift rate or the power time rate has been calculated, the ALD 100 determines (880) whether there is already a record in the sampling repository 500 for the device being measured under the present operating conditions of the device (e.g., set point and other variability factors (e.g., outside temperature)). If there is no existing record, then the ALD 100 creates (882) a new record using, for example, the device's ID, time of record, current set point, current outside temperature, energy used per minute, power time rate, and drift rate (assuming that either a power time rate or a drift rate has been determined). However, if there is an existing record, then the ALD 100 updates (884) the existing record by averaging the new data (including energy usage, drift rate, and power time rate) with the existing data and storing the result in the repository 500.

If the ALD 100 determines (806) that the received data comes from an environmentally-independent device, then the ALD 100 determines (842) the energy used per minute for the device and further determines (844) the energy saved per minute for the device. The ALD 100 then searches the repository 500 (e.g., ALD database (124)) to determine (890) whether there is already a record for the device for the applicable time period. If there is no existing record, then the ALD 100 creates (892) a new record using the device's ID, time of

record, current time block, energy used per minute, and energy saved per minute. However, if there is an existing record, then the ALD 100 updates (894) the existing record by averaging the new data (including energy usage and energy savings) for the time block with the existing data for the time block and stores the result in the repository 500. For environmentally-independent devices, energy usage and energy savings are saved with respect to a block or period of time.

FIG. 9 illustrates an exemplary operational flow diagram 900 providing steps executed by the ALD 100 to project or 10 generate the energy usage expected of a device during a future time period in a given environment setting, in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention. The steps of FIG. 9 are preferably implemented as a set of computer instructions (software) stored in memory (not shown) of the ALD 100 and executed by one or more processors 160 of the ALD 100. In accordance with one embodiment, the operational flow of FIG. 9 may be executed by the power savings application 120 of the ALD 100 when a utility operator, or other operator of the ALD 100, wants to project the energy 20 usage for a device over a specified time period in the future, such as during a period of time in which a control event is to occur.

The following information may be provided as parameters to the operational flow of FIG. 9: the device ID, the start time 25 of the future time period, the end time of the future time period, the manage mode of the device, and, for an environmentally-independent device, a binary control factor. The manage mode is either "control" or "normal" to indicate whether the device is being measured during a control event 30 or during normal operation, respectively. The binary control factor is preferably utilized for environmentally-independent devices and represents the duty cycle of the device. For example, if a water heater 40 runs at 20% duty cycle, the binary control factor is 0.2.

Initially, the ALD 100 (e.g., power savings application 120) determines (902) a future time period based on the start and stop times. The future time period may be set by the utility implementing the load control procedure of the present invention or a second utility that has requested delivery of operat- 40 ing reserve power from the utility implementing the load control procedure of the present invention. After the time period at issue is known, the power savings application 120 begins the procedure for projecting or generating the amount of power that can be saved as the result of execution of a 45 control event during the future time period. Accordingly, the power savings application 120 analyzes the devices to be controlled during the control event. Thus, the power savings application 120 determines (904) whether the devices include both environmentally-dependent and environmentally-inde- 50 pendent (e.g., binary state) devices. For each environmentally-dependent device, the power savings application 120 determines (920) whether the device is in environment controlling (e.g., heating or cooling) mode. Next, the power savings application 120 retrieves (922) the anticipated set 55 points for the device during the future time period of the control event and obtains (924) information regarding the outside environmental characteristic(s) (e.g., the outside temperatures) expected during the control event time period. The power savings application 120 then makes projections (926) about the device's expected power consumption behavior during the future time period. In one embodiment, the projection determination of block 926 is implemented using a best match algorithm, as described in detail below with respect to FIG. 10, to find stored repository records that best 65 match the behavior of the device for each combination of set points, outside environmental characteristics (e.g., tempera-

tures), and time periods, as measured and stored using the logic flow of FIG. 8. The power consumption behavior of the device is used to determine the amount of energy that would be expected to be used by the device if the control event did not occur and, thus, the amount of energy generated or expected to be saved per unit time during the control event. The power savings application 120 multiplies (928) the saved power per unit time by the time duration of the future control event to determine the total amount of energy projected to be used by the device in the absence of the control event. The power savings application returns (980) the total projected amount of energy used by the device in the absence of the proposed control event.

18

However, if the power savings application 120 determines (904) that the proposed control event is to affect an environmentally-independent device, then the power savings application 120 determines (960) whether the device is currently scheduled to be on or off during the proposed time period of the control event. Next, the power savings application 120 creates, obtains, or otherwise determines (962) a list of time blocks for the specified control event time period. The power savings application 120 then makes projections (964) about the device's power consumption behavior during the future, control event time period. In one embodiment, the projection determination of block 964 is implemented using a best match algorithm, as described in detail below with respect to FIG. 10, to find stored repository records that best match the behavior of the device for each combination of set points, outside environmental characteristics (e.g., temperatures), and time periods, as measured and stored using the logic flow of FIG. 8. The power consumption behavior of the device is used to determine the amount of energy that would be expected to be used by the device if the control event did not occur and, thus, the amount of energy expected to be saved per unit time during the control event. Next, the power savings application 120 multiplies (968) the saved power per unit time by the time duration of the future control event to determine the total amount of energy projected to be used in the absence of the control event. If the projected energy savings is based on power consumption during a previous control event (970), then the power savings application 120 multiplies (972) the total amount of energy times the binary control factor to determine the amount of energy projected to be used by the device in the absence of the control event. The power savings application returns (980) the total projected amount of energy used by the device in the absence of the proposed control event.

One or ordinary skill in the art will readily recognize and appreciate that the operational flow of FIG. 9 may be used for each controlled device at a service point, for the controlled devices at multiple service points, or for all the controlled devices at all the service points supplied or supported by a utility. The total projected energy usage by the devices may be aggregated across a single service point, for all service points within a group, and/or for all groups served by the utility.

FIG. 10 illustrates an exemplary operational flow diagram 1000 providing steps executed by the ALD 100 for generating power consumption behavior of a device in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention. The algorithm or operational flow illustrated in FIG. 10 provides one embodiment for implementing steps 926 and 964 of FIG. 9. The operational flow of FIG. 10 determines which record or records in the sampling repository 500 provides the closest match to a given environment or operational setting for use in projecting device energy usage/savings during a time period of a future control event, in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention. The steps of FIG. 10 are preferably

implemented as a set of computer instructions (software) stored in memory (not shown) of the ALD **100** and executed by one or more processors **160** of the ALD **100**. The operational flow of FIG. **10** may be initiated by the ALD **100** when trying to identify or determine the sampling repository record or records that best match the power consumption behavior of a device in a specific setting.

19

In one embodiment, the operational flow of FIG. 10 is called during execution of the operational flow of FIG. 9 as noted above. When so called, the operational flow of FIG. 9 provides the operational flow of FIG. 10 with parameters that indicate the type of records to be searched. These parameters include, but are not limited to: a device ID, a duty mode (either on or off), a time period (e.g., corresponding to the time period of the proposed future control event), a set point 15 delta, a delta or variance related to one or more environmental characteristics (e.g., outside temperature), and a time block delta. Duty mode signifies the duty cycle of the device. If the duty mode is TRUE or ON, significant power is being consumed. If the duty mode is FALSE or OFF, significant power 20 is not being consumed (i.e., power is being saved). Duty cycle exists for switch-controlled, binary state, or environmentallyindependent devices which go ON and OFF irrespective of the influence or affect of environment. For HVAC devices 50, duty mode is always ON. Set point delta is the amount a set 25 point may be varied during a search in order to find a matching repository record. Outside temperature/environmental characteristic delta is the number of temperature degrees or other change in environmental characteristics over which data relating to the outside temperature or other environmental 30 characteristics may be varied during a search in order to find a matching repository record. Time block delta is the amount of time a time block may be varied during a search in order to find a matching repository record.

Initially, the ALD 100 determines (1002) whether the 35 requested repository search relates to an environmentallydependent device or an environmentally-independent device. If the search relates to an environmentally-dependent device, then the ALD 100 attempts to find (1004) power consumption records in the sampling repository 500 that match the device 40 ID, duty mode, environmental characteristic (e.g., temperature) set point, and associated outside environmental characteristic data. Power consumption records include power consumption data, such as power consumed, current drawn, duty cycle, operating voltage, operating impedance, time period of 45 use, set points, ambient and outside temperatures during use (as applicable), and/or various other energy use data. If a record exists that matches all the power consumption search criteria, such record would be considered the record that most closely matches the given environment setting. If no exact 50 match is found (1010), then the ALD 100 begins looking for records that slightly differ from the given environment setting. In one embodiment, the ALD 100 incrementally increases or decreases (1012) the environment-related search criteria (e.g., temperature set point and/or outside/ambient 55 temperature) using the set point delta and the outside temperature/environmental characteristic delta as a guide to look for relevant records. Such incremental/iterative modification of the search criteria continues until either relevant records are found or some applicable limit (e.g., as indicated by the set 60 point delta and/or other parameter deltas) is reached.

If the ALD 100 determines (1002) that the search relates to an environmentally-independent device, then the ALD 100 attempts to find (1040) power consumption records in the sampling repository 500 that match the device ID, duty mode, 65 and time of operation (corresponding to the expected, future time of the control event). If a record is not found that matches

20

all the search criteria (1070), then the ALD 100 modifies its search to look for records that slightly differ from the given environment setting. In one embodiment, the ALD 100 modifies its search by incrementally increasing or decreasing (1072) the time of operation for a given duty mode. The iterative searching continues until either relevant records are found or some applicable limit (e.g., as indicated by the time block delta or other parameter deltas) is reached. Any records that were found as a result of the search are provided (1060) to the requesting program (e.g., the operational flow of FIG. 9). The result of the operational flow of FIG. 10 is a set of one or more power consumption records from the sampling repository 500 that are the closest match to the given environment or proposed control event setting.

FIG. 11 illustrates an exemplary operational flow diagram 1100 providing steps executed by the ALD 100 to project energy savings through power interruption or reduction to a device during a control event, in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention. The steps of FIG. 11 are preferably implemented as a set of computer instructions (software) stored in memory (not shown) of the ALD 100 and executed by one or more processors 160 of the ALD 100. As with the operational flow of FIG. 9, the operational flow of FIG. 11 may be executed by the power savings application 120 when an operator of the utility or of the ALD 100 wants to project the energy savings for a device over a specified time period during operation of a control event.

The following information may be provided as parameters to the operational flow of FIG. 11: a device ID, a start time of the control event, an end time of the control event, and a binary control factor, as described above in connection with FIG. 9. Initially, the ALD 100 (e.g., power savings application 120) projects (1102) the energy usage/power consumption for the device during normal operation within the expected time period of the control event using, for example, the operational flow of FIG. 9. Next, the power savings application 120 projects (1104) the power consumption for the device during the control event using, for example, the operational flow of FIG. 9. For example, depending on the duty cycle, set points, drift or drift rate, power time, and other parameters for the device, the device may be projected to be on and consuming power for some amount of time during the time period of the control event. Thus, both the expected amount of power consumed during normal operation (i.e., in the absence of any control event) and the expected amount of power consumed during the control event are determined to accurately assess any possible power savings as a result of the control event. After the two projected power consumption values have been determined, the power savings application 120 calculates (1106) the difference between the two values, which is the projected power consumption for the device during the control event time period. Because the projected power consumption will not be realized during the control event, such power consumption corresponds directly to an amount of energy saved during the control event. The power savings application 120 returns (1108) the projected energy savings value. One of ordinary skill in the art will readily recognize and appreciate that the power savings application 120 may aggregate the projected power savings for all controlled devices at a service point 20, for all controlled devices at service points within a group, or for controlled devices within all service point groups served by the utility to obtain an aggregate amount of power savings as a result of a control event.

Another context in which the ALMS 10 may be utilized is in conjunction with other renewable energy sources. A number of renewable energy sources, such as wind power and solar power, are variable in nature. That is, such energy

sources do not generate power at a constant rate. For example, wind increases or decreases from moment to moment. Wind turbines can generate a large amount of power due to large winds or can stop generating completely due to lack of any wind. Solar panels may be able to generate a great deal of 5 power on very sunny days, a little power on cloudy days, and virtually no power at night.

As a result, power utilities that make use of renewable energy must compensate for the under-generation or overgeneration of power from those sources. When renewable 10 energy sources are under-generating, the ALMS 10 may utilize the processes disclosed above to provide additional operating reserve to compensate for the lack of power generation by the renewable energy source and for the effects resulting therefrom, including output frequency instability. For 15 example, a utility utilizing wind or solar energy sources may further incorporate the ALMS 10 into the utility distribution system to provide regulating reserve during time periods of under-generation.

FIG. 12 is a graph that depicts the "load profile" of a utility 20 over a predetermined time period, showing actual energy usage as well as projected energy usage determined with and without a control event in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the present invention. The load profile graph depicts the following:

- a. Baseline power consumption 1202. This is the total possible load of, or power consumed by, all controlled devices over a specified period of time.
- b. Projected interruptible load usage 1204 (i.e., projected load or energy usage with a control event) for all controlled devices at all service points (or at selected service points) served by the utility in the absence of a control event. The projected interruptible load usage may be determined in one embodiment through execution of the operational flow of FIG. 9. The projected interruptible load available 1204 indicates the load for all controlled devices if they are controlled 100% of the time using customer preferences.
- c. Projected interruptible load available 1206 (i.e., projected energy used when no control events are used) for 40 all controlled devices at all service points (or at selected service points) served by the utility during a control event. The projected interruptible load usage may be determined in one embodiment through execution of the operational flow of FIG. 11.
- d. Actual interruptible load usage 1208 for all controlled devices at all service points (or at selected service points) served by the utility. The actual interruptible load usage 1208 is the power that is currently being used by all controlled devices. This type of load profile graph 50 may be generated for all controlled devices at a service point 20, for controlled devices at all service points within a group, or for controlled devices at all groups served by the utility.

In the load profile graph of FIG. 12, the capacity under contract is shown as a straight double line at the top of the graph and indicates the baseline power consumption 1202. The baseline power consumption 1202 represents the total amount of power that the utility is obligated to provide. The actual interruptible load usage 1208 is the actual energy usage of all devices controlled by the utility. The projected interruptible load usage 1204 at the bottom of the load profile graph is the projected energy used when control events are used, and the projected interruptible load available 1206 is the projected energy usage when control events are not used. The difference between the projected interruptible load usage 1204 and the projected interruptible load available 1206 is the

22

capacity that may be used for operating reserve, including regulating reserve, spinning reserve, and non-spinning reserve.

Normally, when a utility observes energy demand that is near its peak capacity, it will attempt to initiate control events for customers who voluntarily participate in power saving programs (i.e., flexible load-shape programs, as described earlier). Typically, these control events will provide sufficient capacity to prevent the utility from using non-spinning reserve. However, there are situations in which a sufficient number of customers may have manually decided to opt out of power saving programs and, as a result, the utility would be unable to recover enough energy to meet its spinning reserve needs from its remaining customers who voluntarily participate in the program. Such a situation could happen, for instance, on a very hot day when many people are home, such as on a holiday or a day over the weekend. In such a case, the utility would still be in danger of using non-spinning reserve or even running out of reserve capacity altogether. In such a situation, the utility would be in a "critical control" mode. In critical control mode, the utility may override all customer preferences, including both those who voluntarily participate in power saving programs and those who do not. During periods of critical control, the utility may utilize the ALD 100 to adjust settings of environmentally-dependent devices to settings outside of normal comfort preferences (but not lifethreatening). Invoking critical control enables a utility to return power demand to acceptable levels.

Use of the ALMS 10 may help a utility mitigate the likelihood of critical control situations. For example, whenever a customer overrides or opts out of a control event, the ALMS 10, using the techniques disclosed herein, finds additional customers who may be the target of a voluntary control event. Analogously, when controlled devices that are participating in a control event are required to exit the control event due to customer preferences (e.g., the amount of time that the customer's devices may participate in a control event), the ALD 100 may release such devices from the control event and replace them with other voluntarily controlled devices. The replacement devices would then preferably supply, through deferment, at least the same amount of reserve power as was being sourced by the devices that were released from the control event. Thus, the system 10 of the present invention increases the likelihood that a utility will be able to spread control events to other customers before invoking critical control.

In a further embodiment, the entire ALMS 10 described in FIG. 3 may also be implemented in a proprietary network that is IP-based, real-time, temperature-derived, verifiable, interactive, two-way, and responsive to Automatic Generation Control (AGC) commands to produce operating reserve power through implementation of control events.

In an additional embodiment of the present invention, the sampling data stored in the repository 500 using the operational flow of FIG. 5 could also include other factors (called "variability factors") related to power consumption, such as day of the week, humidity, amount of sunshine, or number of people in the household. This additional data would allow the projected energy usage and projected energy savings to be more accurate based on these additional factors. To make use of this data, the ALD 100 may obtain the additional data from sources within and/or external to the ALMS 10, such as weather databases, live weather feeds from sources such as National Weather Reporting stations, outdoor sensors 94, or any weather related input device commercially available on a real time or predictive basis, calendars, and voluntary cus-

tomer feedback. Some of the variability factor measurements are available from public sources, while others are available via private sources.

In another alternative embodiment of the present invention, transmission line loss may be included in the projected energy savings determination of FIG. 11. As those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize and appreciate, the amount of power supplied by a utility to source a device remote from the utility equals the amount of power required by the device plus the amount of power lost in the transmission lines between the utility's power generation plant and the location of the device. Thus, the projected energy savings resulting from a control event may be determined by determining an amount of power expected to be consumed by the controlled device or devices at a service point, at multiple service points or throughout the entire service area of the utility during the time period of the control event absent occurrence of the control event to produce first energy savings, determining an amount of power that is not expected to be dissipated in transmission lines as a 20 result of not delivering power to the controlled device or devices during the control event to produce second energy savings, and summing the first energy savings and the second energy savings.

In a further embodiment of the present invention, the oper- 25 ating reserve (e.g., spinning reserve or regulating reserve) determined by a utility using the techniques disclosed above can be sold to a requesting utility 1306, as illustrated in FIG. 13, which is essentially a replication of FIG. 9 of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2009/0063228 A1, which is 30 incorporated herein by reference in its entirety. As explained in U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2009/0063228 A1, the saved power may then be distributed to the requesting utility 1306 after commencement of the control event (e.g., during and/or after completion of the control event) con- 35 ducted by the selling utility. The selling utility may be a virtual utility 1302 or a serving utility 1304 as illustrated in FIG. 13 and described in detail in U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2009/0063228 A1, which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety. Alternatively, a third party 40 may serve as a managing entity to manage operation of the ALMS 10 and the resultant distribution of operating reserve to a requesting utility 1306 subsequent to commencement of a control event.

In yet another embodiment, the ALD **100** for a utility may 45 determine projected energy savings for each service point **20** served by the utility in accordance with the operational flow of FIG. **11** and aggregate the projected energy savings across all service points served by the utility to obtain the total projected energy savings from which operating reserve may 50 be determined as described above.

In a further embodiment, instead of or in addition to using the operational flow of FIG. 10 in an attempt to find a best match data point in the repository 500 for use in generating power consumption behavior of a device when the time 55 period of the control event does not correspond to a time period in the repository 500, the ALD 100 may determine whether the repository 500 includes power consumption data for the device during time periods before and after the expected time period of the control event and, if so, interpo- 60 late a value corresponding to an amount of power expected to be consumed by the device during the time period of the control event based on the power consumption data for the device during the time periods before and after the expected time period of the control event. However, more preferably, 65 the power supply value (PSV) associated with each of the at least one power consuming device(s) is used to determine or

24

generate the power consumption behavior and commercial value for the response to the control event associated with the power consuming device(s).

The present invention provides systems and methods that receive electric power from an electric power grid, the systems and methods including: at least one power consuming device that requires electric power to operate; at least one controllable device operably coupled to the at least one power consuming device, the at least one controllable device operable to control a flow of grid-supplied electric power to the at least one power consuming device responsive to power control instructions, wherein each of the at least one power consuming devices has a corresponding power supply value (PSV); a backup power subsystem operably coupled to the at least one power consuming device, the backup power subsystem operable to automatically supply electric power to the at least one power consuming device when a flow of gridsupplied electric power to the at least one power consuming device drops below a threshold; and a client device operable to receive power control messages from a remote system control component and provide power control instructions to the at least one controllable device responsive to the power control messages, wherein a first received power control message instructs the client device to disable a flow of gridsupplied electric power to the at least one power consuming device, and wherein a first power control instruction instructs the at least one controllable device to disable the flow of grid-supplied electric power to the at least one power consuming device and causing activation of the backup power

Also, systems and methods for a client device at a base transceiver site to control a flow of electric power from an electric power grid to a collocated base transceiver system so as to provide operating reserve for to the electric power grid, the base transceiver system including at least one base transceiver unit operable to provide wireless communication capability, a controllable device operable to control a flow of grid-supplied electric power to the at least one base transceiver unit, and a backup power subsystem operable to supply electric power to the at least one base transceiver unit when a flow of grid-supplied electric power to the at least one base transceiver unit drops below a threshold, the method including the steps of: receiving power control messages from a remote system control component, at least a first received power control message instructing the client device to disable a flow of grid-supplied electric power to the at least one base transceiver unit; and providing a first power control instruction to the at least one controllable device responsive to the first received power control message, the first power control instruction instructing the at least one controllable device to disable a flow of grid-supplied electric power to the at least one base transceiver unit, thereby causing activation of the backup power subsystem, wherein an amount of grid-supplied electric power saved as a result of disabling a flow of grid-supplied electric power to the at least one base transceiver unit provides operating reserve for the electric power

Also, in another embodiment, method for a client device located at an electrical service point to control a flow of electric power from an electric power grid to the service point so as to provide operating reserve to the electric power grid, the service point including at least one power consuming device operable to consume electric power, at least one controllable device operable to control a flow of grid-supplied electric power to the at least one power consuming device, and a backup power subsystem operable to supply electric power to the at least one power consuming device when a flow

of grid-supplied electric power to the at least one power consuming device is reduced below a threshold, the method including the steps of: receiving power control messages from a remote system control component, at least a first power control message instructing the client device to disable a flow of grid-supplied electric power to the at least one power consuming device; and providing a first power control instruction to the at least one controllable device responsive to the first power control message, the first power control instruction instructing the at least one controllable device to 10 disable a flow of grid-supplied electric power to the at least one power consuming device, thereby causing activation of the backup power subsystem, wherein an amount of gridsupplied electric power saved as a result of disabling a flow of grid-supplied electric power to the at least one power con- 15 suming device provides operating reserve for the electric power grid based upon a power supply value (PSV) associated with each of the at least one power consuming device(s).

In yet another embodiment, a requesting utility may utilize a method for acquiring operating reserve power from a sourc- 20 ing utility. According to this embodiment, the requesting utility requests operating reserve power from the sourcing utility sufficiently in advance of a transfer time at which the operating reserve power will be needed so as to facilitate measurable and verifiable load-controlled generation of the 25 operating reserve power. The load-controlled generation of the operating reserve power results from a determination of operating reserve as detailed above with respect to FIGS. 7-12. The requesting utility receives an acknowledgment from the sourcing utility indicating that the sourcing utility 30 will supply the operating reserve power at the transfer time. Then, at the transfer time and for a time period thereafter, the requesting utility receives at least some of the operating reserve power from the sourcing utility.

In a further embodiment, the operating reserve determination techniques may be utilized by a virtual utility 1302 as disclosed in U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2009/0063228 A1, which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety. For example, the virtual utility 1302 may be operable to at least offer energy to one or more requesting 40 utilities 1306 for use as operating reserve for the requesting utilities 1306. In such a case, the virtual utility 1302 may include, among other things, a repository 500 and a processor 160 (e.g., within an ALD 100). In this embodiment, the processor 160 is operable to remotely determine, during at least 45 one period of time, power consumed by at least one device to produce power consumption data. The processor 160 is further operable to store the power consumption data in the repository 500 and, at the appropriate time, determine an expected, future time period for a control event during which 50 power is to be reduced to the device or devices. The processor 160 is also operable to generate, prior to commencement of the control event, power consumption behavior expected of the device or devices during the time period of the control event based at least on the stored power consumption data. 55 The processor 160 is further operable to determine, prior to commencement of the control event, projected energy savings resulting from the control event based at least on the power consumption behavior of the device or devices. Still further, the processor 160 is operable to determine, prior to 60 commencement of the control event, operating reserve based on the projected energy savings. After determination of the operating reserve, the processor 160 is operable to communicate an offer to supply the operating reserve to a requesting utility 1306 or utilities.

As described above, the present invention encompasses a system and method for determining operating reserve capac-

ity using an ALD or comparable device, software, or combination thereof so that the operating reserve capacity may be made available to the power utility that generated the operating reserve through load control or to the power market generally (e.g., via the FERC grid). When a utility requires power beyond its native load, the utility must make use of its operating reserve or acquire the additional power via the FERC grid from other utilities. As discussed above, one type of operating reserve is spinning reserve. Spinning reserve is additional generating capacity that is already connected to the power system and, thus, is almost immediately available. In accordance with one embodiment of the present invention, the ALD makes spinning reserve available to a utility. Thus, through use of the ALD, a utility (power generating utility or a virtual utility) can determine or project spinning reserve or other operating reserve that is available through interruptible power savings at service points. The spinning reserve is measurable and verifiable, and can be projected for a number of days in advance, and such projections can be sold to other utilities on the open market.

As disclosed above, the ALD 100 may be considered to implement a type of flexible load-shape program. However, in contrast to conventional load control programs, the load-shape program implemented by the ALD 100 projects an amount of operating reserve resulting from selective control of devices (loads) based on known, real-time customer preferences. In addition, due to its communication and control mechanisms, the ALD 100 can project power savings, as well as operating reserve (e.g., regulating, spinning and/or non-spinning reserve) that is active, real-time, verifiable, and measurable so as to comply with protocols and treaties established for the determination of carbon credits and offsets, as well as renewable energy credits. The information acquired by the ALD 100 is not simply samples of customer preferences and data, but actual power consumption information.

In the foregoing specification, the present invention has been described with reference to specific embodiments. However, one of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that various modifications and changes may be made without departing from the spirit and scope of the present invention as set forth in the appended exemplary claims. For example, the passive sampling algorithm of FIG. 8, the projected energy usage algorithm of FIG. 9, the best sampling match algorithm of FIG. 11 may be performed by one or more equivalent means. Accordingly, the specification and drawings are to be regarded in an illustrative rather than a restrictive sense, and all such modifications are intended to be included within the scope of the present invention.

Benefits, other advantages, and solutions to problems have been described above with regard to specific embodiments of the present invention. However, the benefits, advantages, solutions to problems, and any element(s) that may cause or result in such benefits, advantages, or solutions to become more pronounced are not to be construed as a critical, required, or essential feature or element of any or all the claims. The invention is defined solely by the appended claims including any amendments made during the pendency of this application and all equivalents of those claims as issued.

What is claimed is:

1. A method for generating operating reserves of a utility and/or any market participant of an electric power grid servicing one or more service points, the method comprising the steps of:

determining amounts of electric power consumed by at least a first set of devices during at least one period of

40

60

27

time to produce power consumption data, and a first power supply value (PSV) associated with each of the first set of devices, the first set of devices being located at the one or more service points;

storing the power consumption data and the first PSV in a 5

determining that a control event is to occur during which a supply of electric power is to be reduced to at least the first set of devices;

generating, prior to commencement of the control event and under an assumption that the control event is not to occur, power consumption behavior expected of the first set of devices during a first period of time based at least on the stored power consumption data, wherein the con-  $_{15}$ trol event is expected to occur during the first period of

determining, prior to commencement of the control event, projected energy savings resulting from the control event based at least on the actual power consumption 20 behavior and a first PSV associated with each of the first set of devices, wherein commercial value for responding to the control event associated with the first set of devices is also determined based on the first PSV associated with each of the first set of devices;

determining, prior to commencement of the control event, amounts of electric power stored by a second set of devices located at the one or more service points to produce stored power data, and a second power supply value (PSV) associated with each of the second set of 30 devices; and

determining, prior to commencement of the control event, an amount of available operating reserve based on the projected energy savings, the first PSV associated with each of the first set of devices, the second PSV associ- 35 ated with each of the second set of devices, and the stored power data.

- 2. The method of claim 1, further comprising: distributing the available operating reserve subsequent to commencement of the control event.
- 3. The method of claim 2, wherein the utility and/or market participant(s) utilizes at least some renewable energy produced by a renewable energy source and wherein the available operating reserve is distributed to provide regulating reserve during times of under-generation by the renewable 45 energy source.
- **4**. The method of claim **1**, further comprising the step of: managing distribution of the available operating reserve subsequent to commencement of the first control event.
- **5**. The method of claim **1**, wherein determining that a first 50 control event is to occur comprises the step of: determining that a first control event is to occur responsive to receipt of an Automatic Generation Control command.
- **6**. The method of claim **1**, wherein determining projected energy savings comprises the steps of: determining an intermediate projected energy savings for each service point at which one or more devices are to be affected by the control event; and aggregating the intermediate projected energy savings for a plurality of service points to produce the projected energy savings.
- 7. The method of claim 1, wherein the step of determining projected energy savings is performed on a service point by service point basis.
- 8. The method of claim 1, wherein the step of determining projected energy savings is performed on a utility-wide basis. 65
- 9. The method of claim 1, wherein the second set of devices includes one or more electric or hybrid electric vehicles.

28

10. A grid operator that provides electrical service to one or more remotely located service points, each service point including at least one device that consumes power during operation thereof, the grid operator comprising:

a repository; and

at least one processor coupled to the repository, the at least one processor operable to:

determine amounts of electric power consumed by the at least a first set of devices during at least one period of time to produce power consumption data and corresponding power supply values (PSVs) for the at least the first set of devices;

store the power consumption data in the repository;

determine that a control event is to occur during which a supply of electric power is to be reduced to at least the first set of devices; determine, prior to commencement of the control event and under an assumption that the control event is not to occur, power consumption behavior expected of at least the first set of devices for a first period of time based at least on the stored power consumption data, wherein the control event is expected to occur during the first period of time:

determine, prior to commencement of the control event, projected energy savings resulting from the control event based at least on the actual power consumption behavior and PSVs of at least the first set of devices, wherein commercial value for responding to the control event associated with the first set of devices is also determined based on a first PSV associated with each of the first set of devices;

determine, prior to commencement of the control event, amounts of electric power stored by at least a second set of devices located at the one or more service points to produce stored power data and PSVs corresponding to the at least second set of devices; and

determine, prior to commencement of the control event, an amount of available operating reserve based on the projected energy savings, the PSVs of the at least first set of devices, the PSVs of the at least second set of devices, and the stored power data.

- 11. The grid operator of claim 10, wherein the at least one processor is further operable to determine that the control event is to occur responsive to receipt of an Automatic Generation Control command.
- 12. The grid operator of claim 10, wherein the at least one processor is further operable to manage distribution of the available operating reserve subsequent to commencement of the control event.
- 13. A virtual utility operable to offer energy to one or more requesting utilities and/or market participants for an electric power grid for use as operating reserve, the virtual utility comprising:

a repository; and

at least one processor coupled to the repository, the at least one processor operable to:

determine amounts of electric power consumed by a first set of devices during at least one period of time to produce power consumption data and first power supply values (PSVs) corresponding to the first set of devices, the first set of devices being located remotely from the processor;

store the power consumption data in the repository;

determine that a control event is to occur during which a supply of electric power is to be reduced to the first set of devices;

determining, prior to commencement of the control event and under an assumption that the control event is not to occur, power consumption behavior expected of the first set of devices during a first period of time based at least on the stored power consumption data, 5 wherein the control event is expected to occur during the first period of time;

determine, prior to commencement of the control event, projected energy savings resulting from the control event based at least on the power consumption behavior and first PSVs of the first set of devices, wherein commercial value for responding to the control event associated with the first set of devices is determined based on the first PSVs of the first set of devices;

determine, prior to commencement of the control event, 15 amounts of electric power stored by a second set of devices located remotely from the processor to produce stored power data and corresponding second PSVs:

determine, prior to commencement of the control event, 20 an amount of operating reserve based on the projected energy savings, the first PSVs of the first set of devices, the second PSVs of the second set of devices, and the stored power data; and

manage distribution of the amount of operating reserve 25 to at least one of the requesting utilities and/or market participants of the electric power grid subsequent to commencement of the control event.

**14**. The virtual utility of claim **13**, wherein the at least one processor is further operable to determine that the control 30 event is to occur responsive to receipt of an Automatic Generation Control command.

\* \* \* \* \*